

PBS11 THE FAMILY

LIST OF LECTURES

Michaelmas Term

Day: Thursday

Time: 11am-1pm

Beginning on: October 6th, 2016

Venue: Committee Room, Old Cavendish Laboratory, Free School Lane

Lecture 1 (6th October): Dr Robbie Duschinsky/Professor Susan Golombok
Introduction to the psychology of the family

Lecture 2 (13th October): Dr Tabitha Freeman
Introduction to the sociology of the family

Lecture 3 (20th October): Dr Susanna Graham
Introduction to the social anthropology of the family

Lecture 4 (27th October): Dr Tabitha Freeman
The politics of motherhood and fatherhood

Lecture 5 (3rd November): Dr Jens Scherpe
The family and the legal system

Lecture 6 (10th November): Professor Susan Golombok
Lesbian and gay families

Lecture 7 (17th November): Professor Susan Golombok
Assisted Reproduction Families

Lecture 8 (24th November): Professor Susan Golombok
Single-parent and step-families

Lent Term

Day: Thursday

Time: 11am-1pm

Beginning on: January 19th, 2016

Venue: Seminar Room, Old Cavendish Laboratory, Free School Lane

Lecture 9 (19th January): Susan Imrie
Adolescents and the family

Lecture 10 (26th January): Dr Polly Casey
Marriage

Lecture 11 (2nd February): Dr Sophie Zadeh
Motherhood and the media

Lecture 12 (9th February): Dr Vasanti Jadva
Doing family research

Lecture 13 (16th February): Dr Lucy Blake
Family estrangement

Lecture 14 (23rd February): Dr Tina Gutbrod
Family therapy

Lecture 15 (2nd March): Dr Humera Iqbal
Parenting and child development from a cross-cultural perspective

Lecture 16 (9th March): Anastasia de Waal
Social policy and the family

Easter Term

Lecture 17 Professor Susan Golombok
Revision lecture, date tbc

PAPER CONTACTS

Course Organiser

Professor Susan Golombok seg42@cam.ac.uk

Lecturers

Dr Lucy Blake, lb377@cam.ac.uk

Dr Polly Casey, pcasey@tccr.org.uk

Anastasia de Waal, Anastasia.dewaal@civitas.org.uk

Dr Robbie Duschinsky, rd522@cam.ac.uk

Dr Tabitha Freeman, trf23@cam.ac.uk

Dr Susanna Graham, smg57@cam.ac.uk

Dr Tina Gutbrod tinagutbrod@yahoo.co.uk

Susan Imrie, si275@cam.ac.uk

Dr Humera Iqbal, H.Iqbal@ioe.ac.uk

Dr Vasanti Jadv, vj227@cam.ac.uk

Dr Jens Scherpe, jms233@cam.ac.uk

Dr Sophie Zadeh, sz255@cam.ac.uk

OUTLINE OF PAPER

Course content

In addition to psychology, this interdisciplinary paper draws on material from sociology, social anthropology, law and other relevant disciplines. Psychological and social perspectives on family relationships and child development are examined in relation to specific topics such as motherhood, fatherhood, adolescence, marriage, new family forms, cross-cultural perspectives, dysfunctional family relationships, and family policy. Theories of family life are studied as well as methodologies of family research.

Mode of teaching

The course will be taught by lectures and discussion. Directors of Studies are advised that students should receive 3 supervisions per term on specialist topics. Development of writing skills will also be taught through supervisions. The paper will be assessed through a 3 hour examination.

Introductory reading

Golombok, S. (2015) *Modern Families: Parents and children in new family forms* Cambridge University Press.

Scott, J., Treas, J. and Richards, M. (eds.) (2007) *The Blackwell Companion to the Sociology of Families*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Lecture 1. Introduction to the Psychology of the Family: Adult Attachment

Dr Robbie Duschinsky

When attachment is discussed, it is usual to think of young children where a specific behavioural system orients a child to achieve the availability of their caregiver when they are hurt, anxious or alarmed. Yet attachment remains relevant into adulthood, if in a more diffuse way, in how we manage difficult feelings in the context of our close relationships. This lecture will explore the Adult Attachment Interview and its surprising correlates, and think through the implications of adult attachment for clinical and social welfare work with families using case study material.

Supervision Essay Question

What can research on adult attachment tell us about how difficult feelings are managed or not managed within parent-child and couple relationships?

Reading

General background:

Hesse, E. (2008 or 2016) The Adult Attachment Interview. In *The Handbook of Attachment*, eds. Jude Cassidy and Philip Shaver, NY: Guilford

Main, M., Kaplan, N., & Cassidy, J. (1985). Security in infancy, childhood, and adulthood: A move to the level of representation. In I. Bretherton & E. Waters (Eds.), *Growing points of attachment theory and research. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 50(1–2, Serial No. 209), 66–104

Suggested reading:

Steele, H., Steele, M., & Fonagy, P. (1996). Associations among attachment classifications of mothers, fathers, and their infants. *Child Development*, 67, 541–555.

Steele, M., Hodges, J., Kaniuk, J., Hillman, S., & Henderson, K. (2003). Attachment representations and adoption: Associations between maternal states of mind and emotional narratives in previously maltreated children. *Journal of Child Psychotherapy*, 29, 187–205

Steele, H., Phibbs, E., & Woods, R. (2004). Coherence of mind in daughter caregivers of mothers with dementia: Links with their mothers' joy and relatedness on reunion in a Strange Situation. *Attachment and Human Development*, 6, 439–450.

- Strathearn, L., Fonagy, P., Amico, J. and Montague, P.R., 2009. Adult attachment predicts maternal brain and oxytocin response to infant cues. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 34(13), pp.2655-2666.
- Heinicke, C. M., Goorsky, M., Levine, M., Ponce, V., Ruth, G., Silverman, M., et al. (2006). Pre- and postnatal antecedents of a home visiting intervention and family developmental outcome. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 27, 91–119.
- Creasey, G. (2014). Conflict-management behavior in dual trauma couples. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy*, 6, 232–239
- Murphy, A., Steele, M., Dube, S. R., Bate, J., Bonuck, K., Meissner, P., et al. (2014). Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) Questionnaire and Adult Attachment Interview (AAI): Implications for parent child relationships. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, 38, 224–233.
- Crowell, J. A., Treboux, D., & Brockmeyer, S. (2009). Parental divorce and adult children’s attachment representations and marital status. *Attachment and Human Development*, 11, 87–101.
- Dozier, M., Lomax, L., Tyrrell, C.L. & Lee, S.W., (2001) The challenge of treatment for clients with dismissing states of mind: interpersonal problems with significant others and case managers. *Attachment & Human Development*, 3(1), pp.62-76.
- Schimmenti, A., Guglielmucci, F., Barbasio, C., & Granieri, A. (2012). Attachment disorganization and dissociation in virtual worlds: A study on problematic internet use among players of online role playing games. *Clinical Neuropsychiatry*, 9(5), 195–202.

Lecture 2. Introduction to the Sociology of the Family

Dr Tabitha Freeman

This introductory lecture will present an overview of classical, modern and contemporary sociological approaches to the family and personal relationships, including feminist perspectives. The lecture will examine alternative accounts of the past, present and future of the nuclear family and introduce key concepts such as patriarchy, the public and private sphere, and the sex/gender distinction.

Supervision Essay Question

Why has the nuclear family been both criticised and idealised in recent years?

Reading

Beck, U. and Beck-Gernsheim, E. (1995) *The Normal Chaos of Love*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Cutas, D. and Chan, S. (2012) *Families: Beyond the Nuclear Ideal*. London: Bloomsbury.

Giddens, A. (1993) *The Transformation of Intimacy: Sexuality, Love and Eroticism in Modern Societies*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Gillies, V. (2003) 'Family and Intimate Relationships: A Review of the Sociological Research', Families and Social Capital ESRC Research Group Working Papers, 1: South Bank University. Available at:

<http://www.lsbu.ac.uk/families/workingpapers/familieswp2.pdf>

Jamieson, L. (1997) *Intimacy: Personal Relationships in Western Societies*. Cambridge: Polity.

Oakley, A. (1974) *The Sociology of Housework*. London: Martin Robinson.

Parke, R. (2013) *Future Families: Diverse Forms, Rich Possibilities*. Oxford: Wiley.

Parsons, T. & Bales, R. (1955) *Family Socialization and Interaction Process*. New York: Free Press.

Segal, L. (1987) *Is the Future Female? Troubled Thoughts on Contemporary Feminism*. London: Virago

Smart, C. (2007) *Personal Life: New Directions in Sociological Thinking*. Cambridge: Polity.

Tong, R. (1992) *Feminist Thought*. London: Routledge.

Williams, F. (2004) *Rethinking Families*. Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation.

Lecture 3. Introduction to the Social Anthropology of the Family

Dr Susanna Graham

This lecture introduces students to the social anthropology of the family by problematising “the family” as a self-evident concept. Rather than families, anthropologists tend to talk about “kinship”, exploring the different ways and means by which persons consider themselves to be related. Through a historical look at the anthropological discipline, combined with a range of ethnographic examples, this lecture shows how terms which are often taken for granted in social analysis actually require considerable contextualisation. The lecture therefore prompts students to think about who counts as ‘family’, and why.

Supervision Essay Question

What does the term 'kinship' convey that 'family' does not?

Reading

Parkin, R. and Stone, L. 2004. *Kinship and the Family: An Anthropological Reader*. London: Blackwell.

Sahlins, M. 2013. *What Kinship is - And is not*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Carsten, J. (Ed.) 2000. *Cultures of Relatedness: New Approaches to the Study of Kinship*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

Carsten, J. 2004. *After kinship* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Strathern, M. 1992. *Reproducing the Future: Essays on Anthropology, Kinship and the New Reproductive Technologies*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Franklin, S. & S McKinnon (eds.) 2001. *Relative Values: reconfiguring kinship studies*. Durham NC: Duke University Press

- especially chapters by Charis Thompson and Signe Howell

Nordqvist, P. and Smart, C. 2014. *Relative Strangers: Family Life, Genes and Donor Conception*. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.

Freeman, T., Graham, S. Ebtehaj, F. and Richards, M. (eds.) 2014. *Relatedness in Assisted Reproduction: Families, Origins and Identities*. Cambridge University Press.

Weston, K. 1997. *Families We Choose: Lesbian, Gays, Kinship*. Columbia UP

Lecture 4. The Politics of Motherhood and Fatherhood

Dr Tabitha Freeman

This lecture will explore the gendered politics of parenting and provide a broad theoretical and cultural framework for understanding contemporary debates about men and women's roles in family life. Key topics include: gender, patriarchy and the cultural construction of motherhood and fatherhood; feminist approaches to motherhood and childcare; 'traditional' and 'new' models of fatherhood; shared parenting.

Supervision Essay Question

Is it possible for men and women to parent as equals?

Reading

Chodorow, N. (1978) *The Reproduction of Mothering: Psychoanalysis and the sociology of gender*. Berkeley: University of California Press, esp.Ch.12.

Day, R.D., Lewis, C., O'Brien, M. and Lamb, M.E. (2005) 'Fatherhood and father involvement: emerging constructs and theoretical orientations', in *Sourcebook of family theory and research*, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage

Dermott, E. (2008) *Intimate Fatherhood: A Sociological Analysis*. London: Routledge.

Deutsch, F. (2001) 'Equally shared parenting', *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 10, 25-28.

Doucet, A. (2006) *Do Men Mother? Fathering, Care and Domestic Responsibilities*. University of Toronto Press.

Ehrensaft, D. (1990) *Parenting Together: Men and Women Sharing the Care of Their Children*. Urbana: Illini Books.

Hays, S. (1996) *The Cultural Contradictions of Motherhood*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

LaRossa, R. (1988) 'Fatherhood and social change', *Family Relations*, 36, 451-457.

Ortner, S. (1974) 'Is female to male as nature is to culture?', in Rosaldo, M. and Lamphere, L. (eds.), *Woman, Culture and Society*. Stanford: Stanford University Press, pp. 67-88.

Parke, R. (2013) 'Changing Parental Roles: The Sharing and Redistribution of Family Responsibility in Contemporary Families', in *Future Families: Diverse Forms, Rich Possibilities*. Oxford: Wiley, Chapter 2.

Rich, A. (1977) *Of Woman Born: Motherhood as Experience and Institution*. London: Virago.

Trebilcot, J. (ed.) (1984) *Mothering: Essays in Feminist Theory*. New Jersey: Rowman & Allanheld.

Lecture 5. The family and the legal system

Dr Jens M. Scherpe

The lecture will look at the role family law plays, or intends to play, with regard to the family. In particular, the lecture will discuss the increasing number challenges that the family law system because of societal and scientific developments - and possible answers.

Supervision Essay Question

What should the role of family law be - to regulate or to regularise family life?

Reading

Antokolskaia, Divorce in a European Perspective, in: Scherpe (ed.), European Family Law Vol. III – Family Law in a European Perspective (2016), pp. 41-81.

Clive, Marriage: an unnecessary legal concept? In: Eekelaar/MacLean (eds.), Family Law – A Reader on Family Law (1994) pp 175-191.

Curry-Sumner, Same-sex relationships in a European Perspective, in: Scherpe (ed.) European Family Law Vol. III – Family Law in a European Perspective (2016), pp. 116-145.

*Dewar, The Normal Chaos of Family Law, (1998) 61 Modern Law Review 467-485.

Eekelaar, Family Life and Personal Life (2006).

*Eekelaar, Regulating Divorce (1997), especially pp. 142-145.

Ferrer-Riba, Parental responsibility in a European Perspective, in: Scherpe (ed.), European Family Law Vol. III – Family Law in a European Perspective (2016), pp. 284-310.

Miles, Unmarried cohabitation in a European Perspective, in: Scherpe (ed.) European Family Law Vol. III – Family Law in a European Perspective (2016), pp. 82-115.

Scherpe/Dunne, The legal status of transsexual and transgender persons – Comparative analysis and recommendations, in: Scherpe (ed.), The legal status of transsexual and transgender persons (2015), pp. 616-663.

*Scherpe, *The Present and Future of European Family Law* (2016).

Scherpe, *The financial consequences of divorce in a European Perspective*, in: Scherpe (ed.) *European Family Law Vol. III – Family Law in a European Perspective* (2016), pp. 156-209.

Scherpe, *Marital Agreements and Private Autonomy in Comparative Perspective*, in: Scherpe (ed.), *Marital Agreements and Private Autonomy in Comparative Perspective* (2012), pp. 443-518.

Sörgjerd, *Marriage in a European Perspective*, in: Scherpe (ed.) *European Family Law Vol. III – Family Law in a European Perspective* (2016) pp. 3-40.

Lecture 6. Lesbian and gay families

Professor Susan Golombok

This lecture will examine the influence of parental sexual orientation on children's social, emotional and gender development.

Supervision Essay Question

Are children with same-sex parents at risk for psychological problems?

Reading

Bos, H. & Sandfort, T. (2010) *Children's gender identity in lesbian and heterosexual two-parent families*. *Sex Roles*, 62, 114-126.

Brodzinsky, D. & Pertman, A. (2011) *Adoption by lesbians and gay men*. Oxford University Press.

Clarke, V. (2001) *What about the children? Arguments against lesbian and gay parenting*. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 24, 555-570.

Ethics Committee of the American Society of Reproductive Medicine (2009) *Access to fertility treatment by gays, lesbians, and unmarried persons*. *Fertility and Sterility*, 92, 1190-1193.

Farr, R., Forsell, S. & Patterson, C. (2010) Parenting and child development in adoptive families: Does parental sexual orientation matter? *Applied Developmental Science*, 14(3), 164-178.

Fedewa, A. L., Black, W. W., & Ahn, S. (2014). Children and adolescents with same-gender parents: A meta-analytic approach in assessing outcomes. *Journal of GLBT Family Studies*. doi:10.1080/1550428X.2013.869486

Gartrell, N. K., Bos, H. M. W., & Goldberg, N. G. (2011). Adolescents of the U.S. National Longitudinal Lesbian Family Study: Sexual orientation, sexual behavior, and sexual risk exposure. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 40(6), 1199–1209.

Goldberg, A. (2010) *Lesbian and gay parents and their children*. American Psychological Association.

Goldberg & Smith (2013). Predictors of psychological adjustment in early placed adopted children with lesbian, gay and heterosexual parents. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 27(3), 431-42.

Golombok, S., Mellish, L., Jennings, S., Casey, P., Tasker, F., & Lamb, M. E. (2014). Adoptive gay father families: Parent-child relationships and children's psychological adjustment. *Child Development*, 85(2), 456–468.

Golombok, S. & Tasker, F. (1996) Do parents influence the sexual orientation of their children? Findings from a longitudinal study of lesbian families. *Developmental Psychology*, 32, 3-11.

Golombok, S. et al. (2003) Children with lesbian parents: A community study. *Developmental Psychology*, 39, No. 1, 20-33.

Patterson, C. (2006) Children of lesbian and gay parents. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 15, 241-244.

Patterson, C. (2009) Children of lesbian and gay parents: Psychology, law, and policy. *American Psychologist*. 64(8), 727-736.

Patterson, C. (2013). Sexual orientation and family lives: In C. J. Patterson & A. R. D'Augelli (Eds.) *Handbook of Psychology and Sexual Orientation*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Patterson, C. J., Riskind, R. G., & Tornello, S. L. (2014). Sexual orientation and parenting: A global perspective. In A. Abela & J. Walker (Eds.). *Contemporary Issues in Family Studies: Global Perspectives on Partnerships. Parenting and support in a changing world*. New York: Wiley/Blackwell.

Stacey, J. & Biblarz, T. (2001) (How) Does the sexual orientation of parents matter? *American Sociological Review*, 66, 159-183.

van Gelderen, L., Gartrell, N., Bos, H., & Hermanns, J. (2013). Stigmatization and promotive factors in relation to psychological health and life satisfaction of adolescents in planned lesbian families. *Journal of Family Issues*, 34, 809-827.

Lecture 7. Assisted Reproduction Families

Professor Susan Golombok

This lecture will look at parenting and child development in families created through assisted reproduction (*in vitro* fertilisation [IVF], donor insemination, egg donation and surrogacy), with an emphasis on families where parents lack a genetic and/or gestational link with their children. It will also examine how disclosure can impact on children's well-being. The network of relationships that develop as a result of gamete donation and surrogacy and the implications of this will also be discussed.

Supervision Essay Question

Should children born using gamete donation and/or surrogacy be told about their birth?

Reading

Brodzinsky, D. M. (2006). Family structural openness and communication openness as predictors in the adjustment of adopted children. *Adoption Quarterly*, 9(4), 1–18.

Brodzinsky, D. M. (2011). Children's understanding of adoption: Developmental and clinical implications. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 42(2), 200–207.

Daniels, K. & Taylor, K. (1993) Secrecy and openness in donor insemination. *Politics and Life Sciences*, 12, 155-170.

Howe, D. & Feast, J. (2000) *Adoption, search and reunion: The long term experiences of adopted adults*. London: The Children's Society.

Freeman, T., Jadvá, V., Kramer, W. & Golombok, S. (2009) Gamete donation: Parents experiences of searching for their child's donor siblings and donor. *Human Reproduction*, 24, No. 3, 505-516.

Golombok et al (2002) Families with children conceived by donor insemination: A follow-up at age 12. *Child Development*, 73, 952-968.

Golombok et al (2004) Families created through a surrogacy arrangement: Parent-child relationships in the first year of life. *Developmental Psychology*, 40, 400-411.

Golombok, S., MacCallum, F., Murray, C., Lycett, E., & Jadva, V. (2006). Surrogacy families: Parental functioning, parent-child relationships and children's psychological development at age 2. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 47(2), 213–222.

Golombok S, Blake L, Casey P, Roman G, Jadva V. (2013). Children born through reproductive donation: a longitudinal study of psychological adjustment. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry*, 23, 653-660.

Grotevant & Van Korff (2011). Adoptive identity. In Schwartz, Luyckx & Vignoles (eds) *Handbook of Identity Theory and Research*. New York, Springer.

Grotevant, H. D., McRoy, R. G., Wrobel, G. M., & Ayers-Lopez, S. (2013). Contact between adoptive and birth families: Perspectives from the Minnesota Texas Adoption Research Project. *Child Development Perspectives*, 7(3), 193–198.

Jadva, V., Blake, L., Casey, P. & Golombok, S. (2012) Surrogacy families 10 years on: relationship with the surrogate, decisions over disclosure and children's understanding of their surrogacy origins *Human Reproduction (2012) 27 (10): 3008-3014*

Jadva, V., Freeman, T., Kramer, W. & Golombok, S. (2010) Experiences of offspring searching for and contacting their donor siblings and donor. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*, 20, 523-532.

Palacios, J. & Brodzinsky, D. (2010) Adoption research: Trends, topics, outcomes. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 34, No. 3, 270-284.

Papp, P. (1993) The worm in the bud: Secrets between parents and children. In E. Imber-Black (Ed.) *Secrets in families and family therapy (pp. 66-85)*. Norton: New York.

Readings, J., Blake, L., Casey, P., Jadva, V and Golombok, S. (2011) Secrecy, disclosure and everything in-between: decisions of parents of children conceived by donor insemination, egg donation and surrogacy. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*. 22, (5), 485-495.

Slutsky, J., Jadva, V., Freeman, T., Persaud, S., Steele, M., Steele, H., Kramer, W., & Golombok, S. (2016). Integrating Donor Conception into Identity Development: Adolescents in fatherless families. *Fertility and Sterility*,

Turner, A. & Coyle, A. (2000) What does it mean to be a donor offspring? The identity experiences of adults conceived by donor insemination and the implications for counseling and therapy. *Human reproduction*, 15, 2041-2051.

Lecture 8. Single-parent and stepfamilies

Professor Susan Golombok

This lecture will focus on types of single parent family, as well as stepfather and stepmother families, and the psychological consequences for parents and children.

Supervision Essay Question

Would you expect children born to single mothers by choice to be more at risk for psychological difficulties than children in single parent families resulting from divorce?

Reading

Amato, P. R. (2001). Children of divorce in the 1990s: An update of the Amato and Keith (1991) meta-analysis. *Journal of Family Psychology, 15*, 355–370.

Amato, P. R. (2005). The impact of family formation change on the cognitive, social, and emotional well-being of the next generation. *The Future of Children, 15*(2), 75–96.

Biblarz, T. & Stacey, J. (2010) How does the gender of parents matter? *Journal of marriage and the Family, 72*, 3-22. [see also commentary on this paper by Strohschein pp. 23-28; Goldberg, pp. 29-34; Tasker, pp. 35-40; Biblarz & Stacey, 41-44]

Coleman, L. & Glenn, F. (2009) *When couples part: Understanding the consequences for adults and children*. London, One plus One.

Dunn, J. et al (1998) Children's adjustment and prosocial behaviour in step-, single-parent, and non-stepfamily settings: Findings from a community study. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry, 39*, 1083-1095.

Dunn, J., Davies, L. C., O'Connor, T. G., & Sturgess, W. (2001). Family lives and friendships: The perspectives of children in step-, single-parent and non-step families. *Journal of Family Psychology, 15*, 272-287.

Dunn, J. (2008) *Family relationships: Children's perspectives*. London: One plus One.

Golombok, S., Tasker, F. and Murray, C. (1997) Children raised in fatherless families from infancy: Relationships and the socioemotional development of children of lesbian and single heterosexual mothers. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 38* (7), 783-791.

Golombok, S. & Badger, S. (2010) Children raised in fatherless families from infancy: A follow-up of children of lesbian and single heterosexual mothers in early adulthood. *Human Reproduction, 25*, No. 1, 150-157.

Golombok, S., Zadeh, S., Imrie, S., Smith, V., & Freeman, T. (2016). Single mothers by choice: Mother-child relationships and children's psychological adjustment. *Journal of Family Psychology, 30*(4), 409-418.

Graham, S. (2012). Choosing single motherhood? Single women negotiating the nuclear family ideal. In D. Cutas and S. Chan (Eds.) *Families - Beyond the Nuclear Ideal*. London: Bloomsbury Academic.

Graham, S., & Braverman, A. (2012). ARTs and the single parent. In M. Richards, G. Pennings, & J. B. Appleby (Eds.), *Reproductive donation: Practice, policy and bioethics*. Cambridge University Press.

Hetherington et al. (1998) What matters? What does not? Five perspectives on the association between marital transitions and children's adjustment. *American Psychologist, 53*, 167-184.

Hetherington, M. & Stanley-Hagan, M. (1999) The adjustment of children with divorced parents: A risk and resiliency perspective. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry, 40*, 129-140.

Hetherington, M. & Stanley-Hagan, M. (2002) Parenting in divorced and remarried families. In M. Bornstein (Ed.) *Handbook of Parenting, Vol. 3, (pp. 1287-316)*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Hetherington, E. M. (1989). Coping with family transitions: Winners, losers and survivors. *Child Development, 60*, 1-14.

Jadva, V., Badger, S., Morrisette, M. & Golombok, S. (2009) "Mom by choice, single by life's circumstance..." Findings from a large scale survey of the experiences of women who are 'single mothers by choice'. *Human Fertility, 12*, 175-184.

Lamb, M.E. (ed.) (2010) *The Role of the Father in Child Development. 5th Edition*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.

MacCallum, F. and Golombok, S. (2004) Children raised in fatherless families from infancy: A follow-up of children of lesbian and single heterosexual mothers at early adolescence. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 45* (8), 1407-1419.

MacLanahan, S. & Sandefur, G. (1994) *Growing up with a single parent: What hurts, what helps*. Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.

Murray, C. and Golombok, S. (2005a) Going it alone: Solo mothers and their infants conceived by donor insemination. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 75* (2), 242-253.

Murray, C. and Golombok, S. (2005b) Solo mothers and their donor insemination infants: Follow-up at age 2 years. *Human Reproduction*, 20 (6), 1655-1660.

Pryor, J. and Rodgers, B. (2001) *Children in Changing Families*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Silverstein, L. B., & Auerbach, C. F. (1999). Deconstructing the essential father. *American Psychologist*, 54(6), 397–407.

Waldfoegel, J., Craigie, T-A., & Brooks-Gunn, J. (2010). Fragile families and child wellbeing. *Future Child*, 20(2), 87–112.

Weinraub, M. et al. (2002) Single parenthood. In M. Bornstein (Ed.) *Handbook of Parenting, Vol. 3, (pp. 109-140)*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Lecture 9: Adolescents and the family

Susan Imrie

This lecture will look at the developmental stage of adolescence in relation to the family. It will examine the influence of parenting and family relationships on adolescent psychological well-being, as well as considering the roles of the peer group and changes in brain development during adolescence. It will also examine the outcomes for adolescents of teenage pregnancy and parenthood.

Supervision Essay Questions

Evaluate the role of parents in influencing adolescents' psychological well-being?

OR

Teenage parenthood continues to be portrayed as problematic and undesirable. To what extent could such portrayals be considered misleading?

Reading

Apter, T. (2004). *You Don't Really Know Me*. New York: W.W. Norton.

Arai, L. (2009). *Teenage pregnancy: the making and unmaking of a problem*. Bristol: Policy Press.

Arnett, J. J. (2007). Suffering, selfish, slackers? Myths and reality about emerging adults. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 36, 23-29.

Blakemore, S. & Mills, K. L. (2014). Is adolescence a sensitive period for sociocultural processing? *Annual Review of Psychology*, 65, 187-207.

Boden, J. M., Fergusson, D. M. & Horwood, L. J. (2008). Early motherhood and subsequent life outcomes. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 49(2), 151-160

Bunting, L. & McAuley, C. (2004). Research review: teenage pregnancy and parenthood: the role of fathers. *Child and Family Social Work*, 9, 295-303.

Casey, B. J. & Caudle, K. (2013). The teenage brain: self control. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 22(2), 82-87.

Collins, W. A. & Steinberg, L. (2006). Adolescent development in interpersonal context. In *Handbook of Child Psychology (6th edition)*, volume 3, ed. N. Eisenberg. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.

Collins, W. A., Welsh, D. P., & Furman, W. (2009). Adolescent romantic relationships. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 60, 631-52.

Duncan, S. (2007). What's the problem with teenage parents? And what's the problem with policy? *Critical Social Policy*, 27(3), 307-334.

Grotevant, H. D. & Cooper, C. R. (1985). Patterns of interaction in family relationships and the development of identity exploration in adolescence. *Child Development*, 56(2), 415-428.

Grotevant, H. D. & Cooper, C. R. (1998). Individuality and connectedness in adolescent development: review and prospects for research on identity, relationships, and context. In E. Skoe & A. von der Lippe (Eds.), *Personality development in adolescence: A cross national and life span perspective* (pp. 3-37). New York: Routledge.

Johnson, S. B., Blum, R. W., & Giedd, J. N. (2009). Adolescent maturity and the brain: the promise and pitfalls of neuroscience research in adolescent health policy. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 45, 216-221.

Moffitt, T. E. & the E-Risk Study Team (2002). Teen-aged mothers in contemporary Britain. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 43(6), 727-742.

Phoenix, A. (1991) *Young Mothers?* Cambridge: Polity Press.

Smetana, J. G., Campione-Barr, N. & Metzger, A. (2006). Adolescent development in interpersonal and societal contexts. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 57, 255-284.

Social Exclusion Unit (SEU) (1999). *Teenage Pregnancy*. CM. 4342. London: HMSO.

Waters, E., Merrick, S., Treboux, D., Cromwell, J., & Albersheim, L. (2000). Attachment security in infancy and early adulthood: a twenty-year longitudinal study. *Child Development, 71*(3), 684-689.

Lecture 10: Marriage

Dr Polly Casey

This lecture looks at the psychology of marriage and couple relationships in general. The lecture will introduce students to the dynamic psychological processes within couple relationships, considering the couple relationship as a fundamental element within the wider family system, and thinking about what happens when couple relationships break down. This will be within the context of current and historical demographic trends, including the changing face of marriage and the rise in cohabitation and divorce.

Supervision Essay Question

Can we predict who will get divorced?

Reading

Amato, P.R. (2010). Research on divorce: Continuing trends and new developments. *Journal of Marriage and Family, 72*(3), 650-666.

Bradbury, T.N., Fincham, F.D., & Beach, S.R.H. (2000). Research on the nature and determinants of marital satisfaction: a decade in review. *Journal of Marriage and Family, 62*(4), 964-980.

Coontz, S. (2006). The radical idea of marrying for love. In S. Coontz (Ed.), *Marriage, a History: How Love Conquered Marriage*. Penguin: USA.

Cowan, P. A. & Cowan, C.P. (2002). Interventions as tests of family systems theories: Marital and family relationships in children's development and psychopathology. *Development and Psychopathology, 14*(4), 731-759.

Cowan, P. A. & Cowan, C.P. (2008). Diverging family policies to promote children's wellbeing in the UK and US: some relevant data from family research and intervention studies. *Journal of Children's Services, 3*(4), 4-16.

Cummings, E. M. and Davies, P. T. (1994) *Children and Marital Conflict: The Impact of Family Dispute and Resolution*. New York: Guilford.

Fincham, F.D. & Beach, S.R.H. (2010). Marriage in the new millennium: A decade in review. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 72(3), 630-649.

Fornan, H.M. (2015). Intimate partner relationship distress in the DSM-5. *Family process*, 54(1), 48-63.

Goldberg, J.S. & Carlson, M.J. (2014). Parents' relationship quality and children's behaviour in stable married and cohabiting families. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 76(4), 762-777.

Gottman, J.M. (1998). Psychology and the study of marital processes. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 49, 169-197

Halford, W.K. & Sweeper, S. (2013). Trajectories of adjustment to couple relationship separation. *Family Process*, 52(2), 228-243.

Harold, G. & Leve, L. (2012). Parents as partners: How the parental relationship affects children's psychological development. In Balfour, B., Morgan, M., & Vincent, C. (Eds), *How Couple Relationships Shape Our World: Clinical Practice, Research and Policy Perspectives*. London: Karnac.

A Symposium on Marriage and its Future. (2004). *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 66(4).

Lloyd, G., & Lacey, R., 2012. *Understanding 21st century relationships: a compendium of key data*, http://www.oneplusone.org.uk/content_item/understanding-21st-century-relationships-a-compendium-of-key-data/.

Sturge-Apple, M. L., Davies, P. T. and Cummings, E. M. (2006). Impact of hostility and withdrawal in interparental conflict on parental emotional unavailability and children's adjustment difficulties. *Child Development*, 77(6), 1623-41

What Works in Relationship Support: An Evidence Review. (2015). London: The Tavistock Centre for Couple Relationships. <http://www.tccr.ac.uk/news/805-evidence-review-2015>

Lecture 11. Motherhood and the Media

Dr Sophie Zadeh

This lecture will explore media representations of parenthood. Drawing on examples from the UK and elsewhere, it will discuss depictions of 'non-traditional' parenthood

and related issues (assisted reproductive technologies, fertility decline) in the mass media, and will consider the relationship between media representations and lived experiences. The lecture will also look at the relationship between media representations and the public sphere, and consider some of the theoretical approaches to understanding this relationship.

(Please note: students writing supervision essays on this topic are not expected to have read all of the reading suggestions. Essays should, however, combine empirical examples with a degree of theoretical insight. Texts good for theory are listed at the end).

Supervision Essay Question

What are the contents and consequences of mass media messages about parenthood?

Reading

Allred, P. (1998). Making a mockery of family life? Lesbian mothers in the British media. *Journal of Lesbian Studies*, 2(4).

Campbell, P. (2011). Boundaries and risk: Media framing of assisted reproductive technologies and older mothers. *Social Science & Medicine*, 72(2), 265-272.

Clarke, V. (2001). What about the children? Arguments against lesbian and gay parenting. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 24(5), 555-570.

Correia, H. & Broderick, P. (2009). Access to reproductive technologies by single women and lesbians: Social representations and public debate. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 19(4), 241-256.

Gannon, K., Glover, L. & Abel, P. (2004). *Masculinity, infertility, stigma and media reports*. *Social Science & Medicine*, 59(6), 1169-1175.

Giles, D., Shaw, R.L. & Morgan, W. (2009). Representations of voluntary childlessness in the UK press, 1990-2008. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 14(8), 1218-1228.

Graham, M. & Rich, S. (2014). Representations of childlessness in the Australian print media. *Feminist Media Studies*, 14(3), 500-518.

Harrison, M.E., Clarkin, C., Worth, K., Norris, M.L. & Rohde, K. (2016). But we're not like the people on TV: A qualitative examination of how media messages are perceived by pregnant and parenting youth. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 20(3), 684-692.

Johnston, D.D. & Swanson, D.H. (2003). Invisible mothers: A content analysis of motherhood ideologies and myths in magazines. *Sex Roles*, 49(1-2).

Maher, J. (2014). Something else besides a father: Reproductive technology in recent Hollywood film. *Feminist Media Studies*, 14(5), 853-867.

Markens, S. (2011). The global reproductive health market: U.S. media framings and public discourse about transnational surrogacy. *Social Science & Medicine*, 74(11), 1745-1753.

Michelle, C. (2007). 'Human clones talk about their lives': Media representations of assisted reproductive and biogenetic technologies. *Media, Culture & Society*, 29(4), 639-663.

O'Connor, C. & Joffe, H. (2013). Media representations of early human development: Protecting, feeding and loving the developing brain. *Social Science and Medicine*, 97, 297-306.

Orgad, S. (2016). Incongruous encounters: Media representations and lived experiences of stay-at-home mothers. *Feminist Media Studies*, 16(3), 478-494.

Riggs, D.W. (2014). What makes a man? Thomas Beatie, embodiment, and 'mundane transphobia'. *Feminism & Psychology*, 24(2), 157-171.

Shalev, S. & Lemish, D. (2013). 'Infertile motherhood'. A television construction of surrogacy. *Feminist Media Studies*, 13 (2), 321-336.

Shaw, R.L. & Giles, D.C. (2009). Motherhood on ice? A media framing analysis of older mothers in the UK news. *Psychology & Health*, 24(2), 221-236.

Wall, A. (1997). Monstrous mothers: Media representations of post-menopausal pregnancy. *Afterimage*, 25(2), 14-16.

Texts good for theory

Curran, J.P. & Gurevitch, M. (2005) (eds). *Mass media and society*. London: Arnold.

Cohen, S. (1972). *Folk devils and moral panics*. London: Routledge.

Habermas, J. (2002). *The structural transformation of the public sphere*. Cambridge: Polity.

Jovchelovitch, S. (1997). Peripheral groups and the transformation of social representations: Queries on power and recognition. *Social Psychology Review*, 1(1), 16-26.

Marris, P. & Thornham, S. (1999) (eds). *Media studies: A reader*. Edinburgh UP.

McRobbie, A. (2009). *The aftermath of feminism: Gender, culture and social change*. London: Sage.

Moscovici, S. (2008). *Psychoanalysis: Its image and its public*. Cambridge: Polity.

Thompson, J. (1995). *The media and modernity*. Cambridge: Polity.

Lecture 12. Doing family research

Dr Vasanti Jadv

This lecture will evaluate different methodologies used to study families focusing on how family research is carried out and the advantages and disadvantages of different methods used. The ethical considerations that need to be taken into account when studying families will also be discussed. Examples from selected studies will help gain an insight into the practicalities of doing family research.

Supervision Essay Question

What ethical considerations need to be taken into account when researching family relationships?

Reading

Biringen, Z., Derscheid, D., Vliegen, N., Closson, L. & Easterbrooks, M.A. (2014) Emotional availability (EA) Theoretical background, empirical research using the EA scales, and clinical applications. *Developmental Review*. 34, 114-167.

Brannen, J. (2005). Mixing methods: The entry of Qualitative and Quantitative approaches into the research process. *International journal of Research Methodology*. 8, 173-184.

Buchanan, E.A & Hvizdak, E.E. (2009). Online-survey tools: Ethical and methodological concerns of human research ethics committees. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics*, 4, 37-48. doi: 10.1525/jer.2009.4.2.37

Casey P, Jadv V, Blake L, Golombok, S. (2013). Families created by donor insemination: Father-child relationships at age 7. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 75 (4), 858-870.

- Creswell, J.W. (2014) *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods*, Sage Publications, Inc.
- Cook, C., Heath, F. & Thompson, R.L. (2000) A meta-analysis of response rates in web or internet-based surveys. *Educational and Psychological measurement*, 60, 821-836.
- Coolican, H. (2014) *Research methods and statistics in Psychology*. Psychology Press
- Deater-Deckard, K., & O'Connor, T.G. (2000). Parent-child mutuality in early childhood: Two behavioral genetic studies. *Developmental Psychology*, 36, 561-570.
- Fowler, F.J. (2013) *Survey Research Methods*. Sage Publications.
- Gillham, B. (2005). *Research Interviewing. The range of techniques*. Open University Press.
- Greene, S (2005) *Researching Children's Experience: Methods and Approaches*. London, SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Greenstein, T.N and Davis, S.N. (2012) *Methods of Family Research*. Thousand Oaks : SAGE Publications, Inc,
- Golombok et al. (2013) Children born through reproductive donation: a longitudinal study of psychological adjustment. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 54, 653-60.
- Jadva, V., Freeman, T., Kramer, W. and Golombok, S. (2009) The experiences of adolescents and adults conceived by sperm donation: Comparisons by age of disclosure and family type. *Human Reproduction* 24, 1909 - 1919.
- Lansford et al. (2016). How international research on parenting advances understanding of child development. *Child Development perspectives*.
- Readings et al. (2011) Secrecy, disclosure and everything in-between: decisions of parents of children conceived by donor insemination, egg donation and surrogacy. *Reproductive Biomedicine Online*, 22, 485-95.
- Teti (2005) *Handbook of research methods in developmental science*. Malden, MA : Blackwell Pub.

Lecture 13. Family Estrangement

Dr Lucy Blake

The family is often assumed to be a source of support and security, in which love and affection between family members is unconditional. Relationships that are distant, dissatisfying, or have broken down do not fit into this normative model of the family. This lecture will focus on estrangement between family members, and in particular, parents and their adult children. The causes, processes and consequences of estrangement will be explored.

Supervision Essay Question

What are the causes and consequences of family estrangement?

Reading

Agllias, K. (2013). The gendered experience of family estrangement in later life. *Journal of Women and Social Work*, 28, 309–321. doi:10.1177/0886109913495727

Agllias, K. (2014). Difference, choice, and punishment: parental beliefs and understandings about adult child estrangement. *Australian Social Work*, 68(1), 115–129. doi:10.1080/0312407X.2014.927897

Ahrons, C. R. (2006). Family ties after divorce: Long-term implications for children. *Family Process*, 46(1), 53–66.

Blake, L., Bland, B and Golombok, S. Hidden Voices. Family Estrangement in Adulthood. Available from: <http://standalone.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/HiddenVoices.FinalReport.pdf>

Doyle, M., O'Dywer, C., & Timonen, V. (2010). "How can you just cut off a whole side of the family and say move on?" The reshaping of paternal grandparent-grandchild relationships following divorce or separation in the middle generation. *Family Relations*, 59(5), 587–598. doi:10.1111/j.1741-3729.2010.00625.x

Drew, L. M., & Silverstein, M. (2007). Grandparents' psychological well-being after loss of contact with their grandchildren. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 21(3), 372–9. doi:10.1037/0893-3200.21.3.372

Gilligan, M., Sutor, J. J., & Pillemer, K. (2015). Estrangement Between Mothers and Adult Children: The Role of Norms and Values. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 77(4), 908-920
Jerrome, D. (1994). Family estrangement: parents and children who "lose touch". *Journal of Family Therapy*, 16, 241 – 258.

Sims, M., & Rofail, M. (2014). Grandparents with little or no contact with grandchildren-impact on grandparents. *Journal of Aging Science, 02(01)*, 1–7. doi:10.4172/2329-8847.1000117

Lecture 14. Family Therapy

Dr Tina Gutbrod

This lecture will introduce students to the core principles of family therapy, and will emphasise the importance of seeing individual behaviour in the context of relationships within the family and wider system. Clinical case examples and videoclips of family therapy sessions will highlight systemic tools and techniques used in clinical practice. We will explore the importance of intergenerational patterns, lifecycles and narratives in families, and participants will be invited to reflect on their own family scripts and beliefs.

Supervision Essay Question

How can correcting family scripts lead to further challenges in family relationships? Discuss using clinical examples and/or examples from your own life experiences.

Reading

Anderson, H. (1991). Postmodern Construction Therapies. In Gurman, A.S. & Kniskern, D. P. (Eds.) *Handbook of Family Therapy* (pp 125-146). New York, NY: Routledge.

Burnham, J. (1986) Relationships. In *Family Therapy: First Steps towards a systemic approach*. In J. Burnham (Eds). (pp 9-24). New York, NY: Routledge.

Barnes, G.G. (1998). Changes in Families: Theories in Change. In .G. G. Barnes (Ed.) *Family Therapy in Changing Times*. (pp 20-42). New York, NY: Palgrave, MacMillan.

Byng-Hall, J. (1995). Chapter One Secure Enough to Improvise. In J. Byng Hall (Ed.) *Rewriting Family Scripts: Improvisation and Systems Change*. (pp 3-22). New York, NY: Guilford.

Carter, B. & McGoldrick, M. (1988). Chapter 1 Overview: The Changing Family Life Cycle: A Framework for Family Therapy. In B. Carter & M. McGoldrick (Eds.). *The Changing Family Life Cycle: A Framework for Family Therapy*. (pp. 3-28). New York, NY, US: Gardner Press.

Garven, R. & White, H. (2009). Key systemic ideas as described by first-year trainees. *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Family Therapy, 30 (3)*, 196-215.

Hayes, H. (1991). A Re-introduction to Family Therapy : Clarification of Three Schools. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Family Therapy, 12, (1), 27-43.

Reay, R. (1988). Structural Family Therapy. In E. Street & W. Dryden, W. (Eds.) Family Therapy in Britain (pp. 80-100). Philadelphia, PA: Open University Press.

Lecture 15. Family life from a cross-cultural perspective

Dr Humera Iqbal

This lecture looks at similarities and differences in parenting and early social development of children across cultures (and ethnicities). It will introduce students to the discipline of cross-cultural psychology and discuss key theories in this field. We also challenge existing Western knowledge around the nature of parenting and child development including Baumrind's parenting style model and the development of self-awareness. Some of the methodological issues that need to be considered when studying families from different cultural and ethnic groups will also be covered.

Supervision Essay Question

What have we learnt about the universality of parenting and child development from the study of family life across cultures?

Reading

Berry, J.W., Poortinga, Y.H., Breudelmans, S.M., Chasiotis, A. & Sam, D. L. (2011). *Cross-cultural psychology: Research & applications*, 3rd edition. New York: Cambridge University Press (chapter 2)

Chao RK. (1994). Beyond parental control and authoritarian parenting style: Understanding Chinese parenting through the cultural notion of training. *Child Development*. 65(4):1111–19

Deater-Deckard, K., Dodge, K.A., Bates, J.E., & Pettit, G.S. (1996). Physical discipline among African American & European American mothers: Links to children's externalizing behaviours. *Developmental Psychology*, 32, 1065-1072.

*Kagitçibasi, Ç. (2005). Autonomy & Relatedness in Cultural Context: Implications for Self & Family. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 36(4), 403-422.

Keller, H., Voelker, S. & Dzeaye Yovsi, R., (2005). Conceptions of Parenting in Different Cultural Communities: The Case of West African Nso & Northern German Women. *Social Development*, 14(1), 158-180

Markus, H.R., & Kitayama, S. (1991). Culture and the self: Implications for cognition, emotion and motivation, *Psychological Review*, 98, 224-253.

*Ross, J., Yilmaz, M., Dale, R., Cassidy, R., Yildirim, I. and Suzanne Zeedyk, M. (2016), Cultural differences in self-recognition: the early development of autonomous and related selves?. *Dev Sci*. doi:10.1111/desc.12387

*Sorkhabi, N., Mandara, J. (2013). Are the effects of Baumrind's parenting styles culturally specific or culturally equivalent? In R.E. Larzelere (Ed), A.S. Morris (Ed); A. W. Harrist, (Ed), *Authoritative parenting: Synthesizing nurturance and discipline for optimal child development*. (pp. 113-135). Washington, DC, US: American Psychological Association. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/13948-006>

Tudge, J. R. H., Mokrova, I., Hatfield, B. E. & Karnik, R. B. (2009), Uses & Misuses of Bronfenbrenner's Bioecological Theory of Human Development. *Journal of Family Theory & Review*, 1, 198–210.

(* indicate key readings)

Lecture 16. Social Policy and the Family

Anastasia de Waal

This lecture presents the central concepts within family policy, moving from definitions of social policy to what specifically constitutes family policy. Family policy is then contextualised, exploring its interface with welfare policy, economics, politics and history.

The second half of the lecture goes on to look at key elements of family policy in the UK today, including demographic change and family structure, partnerships, parenting and work, and family income. Central to exploring influences on family life will be discussion around the dynamics between policy and social change, and the interdisciplinary tools used to understand these interactions.

Supervision Essay Question

What is the relationship between the changing family and family policy?

Reading list

Alcock, P., May, M. and Wright, S. (2012) *The Student's Companion to Social Policy* (Fourth Edition), Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell (Especially Chapter 1, Alcock, P. 'The subject of social policy', pp.5-11 and Chapter 24, Millar J. and Haux, T. 'Family policy', pp.166-172)

Saraceno, C. J., Lewis, J. and Leira, A. (Eds) (2012), *Families and Family Policy*, Volume I. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar. (Especially Millar, J. I. 'Families and family policy', pp. 443-449)

Thane, P. (2011) 'Happy Families? History and Family Policy', British Academy Policy Centre. London: British Academy

Berthoud, R. and Gershuny, J. (Eds) (2000) *Seven Years in the Lives of British Families: Evidence on the Dynamics of Social Change from the British Household Panel Survey*. Bristol: The Policy Press

Bradshaw, J. and Hatland, A. (Eds) (2006) *Social Policy, Employment and Family Change in Comparative Perspective*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar

Lewis, J. (2009) *Work–Family Balance, Gender and Policy*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar

Coontz, S. (2006) *Marriage, A History: How Love Conquered Marriage*. New York: Penguin. (Especially 'Introduction', pp. 1-12)

Probert, R. (2012) 'Marriage at the crossroads in England and Wales'. In Garrison, M. and Scott, E.S. (Eds) (2012) *Marriage at the Crossroads: Law, Policy and the Brave New World of Twenty-First-Century Families* (pp.73-86) Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

Probert, R. (2015) *Divorced, Bigamist, Bereaved? The Family Historian's Guide to Marital Breakdown, Separation, Widowhood and Remarriage: from 1600 to the 1970s*. Kenilworth: Takeaway (Especially 'Introduction', pp. 13-22).

Ermisch, J. and Francesconi, M. (2000). 'Cohabitation in Great Britain: Not Here for Long, but Here to Stay', *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series A*, Vol. 163, May, pp. 153-171

Culliney, M., Haux, T. and McKay, S. (2014) 'Family Structure and Poverty in the UK: An Evidence and Policy Review'. York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation

Stafford, B. and Roberts, S. (2009) 'The Impact of Financial Incentives in Welfare Systems on Family Structure', Department for Work and Pensions Research Report No. 569. London: Department for Work and Pensions

Henricson, C. A. (2012) *A Revolution in Family Policy: Where We Should Go from Here*. Bristol: The Policy Press

Ruspini, E. (2013) *Diversity in Family Life: Gender, Relationships and Social Change*.
Bristol: The Policy Press

Easter Term

Lecture 17 Professor Susan Golombok
Revision lecture, date tbc