

Transitional Politics: Emerging Incentive-based Instruments in Environmental Regulation*

Toke S. Aidt, University of Cambridge^{#*}

Jayasri Dutta, University of Birmingham^{&†}

August 7, 2003

Appendix to be made available upon request

This appendix derives the policy preference of industry interests when the two types of firms are allowed to organize separate lobby groups. The profits of the two lobby groups as a function of the target are shown in the Table above. Proposition 1 summarizes the main insight.

Proposition 1 (*Conflict*) *Let $\theta_H > \frac{9}{5}$. There exist two critical values of the environmental target, e_f^L and e_f^H with $e_u > e_f^L > e_f > e_f^H > e_L$ such that*

1. For $\bar{e}_t \in (0, e_f^H]$, both lobby groups prefer [**P**];
2. For $\bar{e}_t \in [e_f^L, e_H)$, both lobby groups prefer [**S**];
3. For $\bar{e}_t \in (e_f^H, e_f^L)$, lobby group *L* prefers [**P**] and lobby group *H* prefers [**S**].

Proof. First, for $\bar{e}_t \in (0, e_L]$, a direct comparison of $\pi_t^i[P1] - \pi_t^i[S1]$, $i = L, H$ from Table 2 establishes that both prefer [**S**] to [**P**]. Second, suppose that $\bar{e}_t \in (e_L, e_u]$. Define $\Delta\pi_t^i(\bar{e}_t) = \pi_t^i[S2] - \pi_t^i[P1]$, $i = L, H$. Use the expression in Table 2 to calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\pi_t^L(\cdot) &= \frac{2a^2}{9} - \frac{11a}{9}\bar{e}_t + \left(\frac{19 + \theta_H(74 + 19\theta_H)}{18(1 + \theta_H)^2}\right)\bar{e}_t^2 \\ \Delta\pi_t^H(\cdot) &= -\frac{a\bar{e}_t}{3} + \frac{1}{6}\left(4 + \frac{12}{(1 + \theta_H)^2} - 3\theta_H\right)\bar{e}_t^2.\end{aligned}$$

^{#*}Corresponding author: Faculty of Economics and Politics, University of Cambridge, Austin Robinson Building, Sidgwick Avenue, Cambridge CB3 9DD. Phone: +44 1223 335231. Fax: +44 1223 35475. E-mail: Toke.Aidt@econ.cam.ac.uk.

[†]&Department of Economics, University of Birmingham. Phone.: +44 0121 4 146640. E-mail: J.Dutta@bham.ac.uk.

Case	\bar{e}_t	π_t^L and π_t^H
[S1]	$(0, e_L]$	$\pi_t^L[S1] = (a - \bar{e}_t)\bar{e}_t - \frac{\bar{e}_t^2}{2}$ $\pi_t^H[S1] = (a - \bar{e}_t)\bar{e}_t - \frac{\bar{e}_t^2}{2\theta_H}$
[S2]	$(e_L, e_H]$	$\pi_t^L[S2] = \frac{(2a - \bar{e}_t)^2}{6}$ $\pi_t^H[S2] = \frac{1}{6\theta_H} (4a\theta_H\bar{e}_t - (3 + 2\theta_H)\bar{e}_t^2)$
[P1]	$(0, e_u)$	$\pi_t^L[P1] = a\bar{e}_t - \left(\frac{3 + \theta_H^2 + 2\theta_H}{(1 + \theta_H)^2}\right)\bar{e}_t^2$ $\pi_t^H[P1] = a\bar{e}_t - \left(\frac{1 + \theta_H^2 + 4\theta_H}{(1 + \theta_H)^2}\right)\bar{e}_t^2$
[P2]	$[e_u, e_H]$	$\pi_t^L[P2] = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a}{1 + \mu}\right)^2$ $\pi_t^H[P2] = \frac{\theta_H}{2} \left(\frac{a}{1 + \mu}\right)^2$
[T1]	$(0, e_u)$	$\pi_t^L[T1] = \pi_t^L[P1] - a\left(1 - \frac{\bar{e}_t}{e_u}\right)\bar{e}_t$ $\pi_t^H[T1] = \pi_t^H[P1] - a\left(1 - \frac{\bar{e}_t}{e_u}\right)\bar{e}_t$
[T2]	$[e_u, e_H]$	$\pi_t^L[T2] = \pi_t^L[P2]$ $\pi_t^H[T2] = \pi_t^H[P2]$

Table 1: Profits Levels for Lobby Group L and H

Evaluate: $\Delta\pi_t^i(0) \geq 0$, $\Delta\pi_t^i(e_L) < 0$, $\Delta\pi_t^i(e_u) > 0$ $i = 1, 2$. Thus each of $\Delta\pi_t^i(\cdot)$ has one and only one root in $(e_L, e_u]$. Call these for e_f^L and e_f^H . Notice that $\Delta\pi_t^H(e_L) > \Delta\pi_t^L(e_L)$, that $\Delta\pi_t^H(e_u) > \Delta\pi_t^L(e_u)$ for $\theta_H \geq \frac{9}{5}$ and that $\Delta\pi_t^i(\bar{e}_t)$ are strictly increasing in \bar{e}_t . Thus, $e_f^L > e_f^H$. Finally, a direct comparison shows that $\pi_t^L[S2] > \pi_t^L[P2]$ for $\bar{e}_t \geq e_u$. Write $w(\bar{e}_t) = \pi_t^H[S2] - \pi_t^H[P2] = \frac{1}{6} \left(4a\bar{e}_t - \frac{3a^2\theta_H}{(3 + \theta_H)^2} - \frac{3 + 2\theta_H}{\theta_H}\bar{e}_t^2\right)$. Note that $w(e_U) > 0$, $w(e_H) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{e}_t} < 0$ for $\bar{e}_t > e_u$. Thus $\pi_t^H[S2] > \pi_t^H[P2]$ for $\bar{e}_t \geq e_u$ ■