

Supervision 3: Monetary Policy

Short question (250 words max)

1. Suppose that the output gap y is determined by

$$y = \alpha - (\kappa + \varepsilon) r + v$$

where r is the policy instrument controlled by the policymaker; α is an anticipated shock that is observed by the policymaker when it sets r ; κ is a positive parameter capturing the effectiveness of the policy instrument; and ε and v are independent shocks that are not observed by the policymaker, with zero means and positive variances σ_κ^2 and σ_v^2 , respectively. The policymaker minimizes the loss function $L = E[y^2]$.

Derive the optimal policy r^* , and explain how the optimal policy response to α depends on σ_v^2 and σ_κ^2 . Give an economic interpretation of the results.

Problems

2. The following model describes the process for output and inflation:

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= -\beta(i_t - \pi_t^e) + \eta_t & \beta > 0 \\ \pi_t &= \pi_t^e + \alpha y_t + \varepsilon_t & \alpha > 0 \end{aligned}$$

where y_t is the output gap, i_t is the nominal interest rate, π_t is the inflation rate, and π_t^e is the expected inflation rate for period t , which is formed at the end of period $t-1$ using rational expectations. The additive aggregate disturbances η_t and ε_t follow zero mean, serially uncorrelated stochastic processes with variances σ_η^2 and σ_ε^2 , respectively. These shocks are observed in period t by the monetary policymaker, who sets the nominal interest rate i_t to minimise the quadratic loss function

$$L_t = \lambda y_t^2 + \pi_t^2$$

where $\lambda > 0$. [cf Tripos 2003]

- (a) Derive the optimal interest rate rule $i_t = \theta\eta_t + \gamma\varepsilon_t$, where θ and γ are constant parameters. Give a brief intuitive explanation.
- (b) Derive the efficient policy frontier and explain why different types of shock pose different problems for the policymaker.
- (c) If both output and inflation became more volatile would this affect the policy rule derived in part (a)? Briefly discuss what other forms of uncertainty a policymaker might face in practice and how these affect the conduct of policy.

3. Consider the following model for output and inflation

$$y_t = -\beta r_t + \eta_t \quad \beta > 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e + \alpha y_t + \varepsilon_t \quad \alpha > 0 \quad (2)$$

where y_t is the output gap, r_t is the real interest rate, π_t is the inflation rate, and π_t^e is the expected inflation rate for period t , which is assumed to equal zero. The shocks η_t and ε_t are zero mean, independent and serially uncorrelated normally distributed random variables with variances σ_η^2 and σ_ε^2 , respectively. Assume that the policymaker has rational expectations and minimizes the expected value of the loss function

$$L_t = \pi_t^2 + \lambda y_t^2 \quad \lambda \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

Suppose that in period t the monetary policymaker perfectly observes η_t and ε_t . [cf Tripos 2006]

- (a) Give a brief economic explanation of equations (1), (2) and (3).
- (b) Derive the optimal real interest rate r_t . Briefly comment on its properties.

Now suppose that the policymaker faces uncertainty and cannot perfectly observe the shock η_t . The policymaker has two independent forecasts of η_t , one produced by its own staff economists, $\eta_{S,t}$, and one by the International Monetary Fund (IMF), $\eta_{IMF,t}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{S,t} &= \eta_t + v_{S,t} \\ \eta_{IMF,t} &= \eta_t + v_{IMF,t} \end{aligned}$$

where $v_{S,t}$ and $v_{IMF,t}$ are zero mean, independent forecast errors with variances σ_S^2 and σ_{IMF}^2 , respectively. Both forecasts produce unbiased estimates of the true shock η_t , but the staff economists have a better forecasting record than the IMF, so $\sigma_S^2 < \sigma_{IMF}^2$.

- (c) Derive the best unbiased forecast of η_t that the policymaker can make. Provide an intuitive explanation of the result.
- (d) Explain what the optimal real interest rate r_t is in this case. Compare your answer to part (b).

Main readings

- Batini, Martin & Salmon (1999), “Monetary Policy and Uncertainty”, *Bank of England Quarterly Bulletin*, May, pp. 183-189
- Carlin & Soskice (2015), *Macroeconomics: Institutions, Instability and the Financial System*, chapter 13
- Conway (2000), “Monetary policy in an uncertain world”, *Reserve Bank of New Zealand Bulletin* 63(3), pp. 5-15
- Dennis (2005), “Uncertainty and Monetary Policy”, *Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco Economic Letter* 2005-33, pp. 1-3
- Taylor (1994), “The Inflation/Output Variability Trade-off Revisited”, in Fuhrer (ed.), *Goals, Guidelines, and Constraints Facing Monetary Policymakers*, pp. 21-38

Supplementary references

- Ashley, Driver, Hayes and Jeffery (2005), “Dealing with Data Uncertainty”, *Bank of England Quarterly Bulletin* 2005, Spring, pp. 23-29.
- Bean (1998), “The New UK Monetary Arrangements: A View from the Literature”, *Economic Journal* 108, November, pp. 1795-1809.
- Blinder (1997), “What Central Bankers Could Learn from Academics – and Vice Versa”, *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 11(2), pp. 3-19.
- Brainard (1967), “Uncertainty and the Effectiveness of Policy”, *American Economic Review* 57, May, pp. 411-425.
- Cecchetti (1998), “Policy Rules and Targets: Framing the Central Banker’s Problem”, *FRBNY Economic Policy Review* 4(2), pp. 1-14.
- Geraats (2014), “Monetary Policy Transparency”, CESifo Working Paper No. 4611.
- Joyce, Tong and Woods (2011), “The United Kingdom’s quantitative easing policy: Design, operation and impact”, *Bank of England Quarterly Bulletin* 2011Q3, pp. 200-212.
- Lenza, Pill and Reichlin (2010), “Monetary Policy in Exceptional Times”, *Economic Policy* April, pp. 295-339.
- McCaw and Haare Morka (2005), “Monetary Policy and the Trade-off between Inflation and Output Variability”, *Norges Bank Economic Bulletin* 05 Q1, pp. 42-49.
- Powell, Jerome (2018), “Monetary Policy in a Changing Economy”, speech at Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City Economic Policy Symposium “Changing Market Structure and Implications for Monetary Policy”, Jackson Hole, 24 August 2018.
https://www.federalreserve.gov/news_events/speech/files/powell20180824a.pdf