# Supplement to "Estimation of Time-invariant Effects in Static Panel Data Models" <br> by M. Hashem Pesaran, University of Southern California, Qiankun Zhou, State University of New York (SUNY) at Binghamton 

May 2016

This supplement contains three sections. Section A gives the derivation of the modified HT estimator proposed in the paper, and provides a comparison of the modified HT and FEF-IV estimation procedures. Section B includes all the Monte Carlo simulation results discussed in the paper. Section C provides additional simulations for the (unmodified) HT estimation.

## A: Modified HT estimators and comparison of FEF-IV and modified HT estimators

Using the same notations as in the main paper, we first note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}\left(\varphi \mathbf{P}_{V}+\mathbf{Q}_{V}\right) \equiv \lambda \mathbf{I}_{N T}+\psi \mathbf{Q}_{V} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{M}_{T}=\mathbf{I}_{T}-\boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime}, \mathbf{P}_{V}=\mathbf{I}_{N} \otimes\left(\mathbf{I}_{T}-\mathbf{M}_{T}\right), \mathbf{Q}_{V}=\mathbf{I}_{N} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{T}, \varphi=\sigma_{\varepsilon} / \sqrt{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}+T \sigma_{\eta}^{2}}$, $\lambda=\varphi / \sigma_{\varepsilon}$ and $\psi=(1-\varphi) / \sigma_{\varepsilon}$. The (infeasible) modified HT (HTM) estimator is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{H T M}=\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{P}_{A^{*}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{W}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \Omega^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{P}_{A^{*}} \Omega^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{y}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{W}=\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right), \mathbf{Z} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}, \mathbf{X}\right], \mathbf{P}_{A^{*}}=\mathbf{A}^{*}\left(\mathbf{A}^{* \prime} \mathbf{A}^{*}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{* \prime}, \mathbf{A}^{*}=\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right), \mathbf{R} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}, \mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{X}\right]$, and $\mathbf{R}$ is the $N \times s$ matrix of instrumental variables. The associated variance-covariance matrix is given by

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{H T M}\right)=\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{P}_{A^{*}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{W}\right)^{-1}
$$

Since $\mathbf{M}_{T} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}=0$, then $\mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{Z}=\left(\mathbf{I}_{N} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{T}\right)\left(\mathbf{Z} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right)=\mathbf{0}$, and $\mathbf{P}_{V}\left(\mathbf{Z} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right)=\left(\mathbf{I}_{N} \otimes\left(\mathbf{I}_{T}-\mathbf{M}_{T}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{Z} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right)=$ $\left(\mathbf{Z} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right)$, and further using (1) it then readily follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{W}==\left[\lambda\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right), \lambda \mathbf{Z} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{X}\right] . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, since $\mathbf{R}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V}=\mathbf{0}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}^{* \prime} \mathbf{A}^{*} & =\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime}\right) \\
\mathbf{R}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime} \\
\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V}
\end{array}\right)\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right), \mathbf{R} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}, \mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{X}\right) \\
& \equiv N T\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \frac{1}{N} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{N}^{\prime} \mathbf{R} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{R}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{N} & \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{R}^{\prime} \mathbf{R} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{N T} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{X}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left(\mathbf{A}^{* \prime} \mathbf{A}^{*}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{N T}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1+\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{r}} & -\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} & 0  \tag{4}\\
-\mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{r}} & \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{Q}_{F E, N T}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\overline{\mathbf{r}}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{r}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}\right)\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}\right)^{\prime}$.
Using (3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{A}^{*} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{W} & =\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime}\right) \\
\mathbf{R}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime} \\
\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V}
\end{array}\right)\left[\lambda\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right), \lambda \mathbf{Z} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{X}\right] \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda N T & \lambda T\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N}^{\prime} \mathbf{Z}\right) & \left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{X} \\
\lambda T \mathbf{R}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{N} & \lambda T \mathbf{R}^{\prime} \mathbf{Z} & \left(\mathbf{R}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{X} \\
\lambda \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right) & \lambda \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V}\left(\mathbf{Z} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right) & \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{X}
\end{array}\right), \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{X} & =\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime}\right)\left(\lambda \mathbf{I}_{N T}+\psi \mathbf{Q}_{V}\right) \mathbf{X} \\
& =\lambda\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{X}+\psi\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{X} \\
& =\lambda\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{X} \\
& =\lambda N T \overline{\mathbf{x}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\overline{\mathbf{x}}=\frac{1}{N T} \sum_{i, t} \mathbf{x}_{i t}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathbf{R}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{X} & =\left(\mathbf{R}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime}\right)\left(\lambda \mathbf{I}_{N T}+\psi \mathbf{Q}_{V}\right) \mathbf{X} \\
& =\lambda\left(\mathbf{R}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{X}+\psi\left(\mathbf{R}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{X} \\
& =\lambda\left(\mathbf{R}^{\prime} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{X} \\
& =\lambda N T\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, $\mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}} \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right)=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V}\left(\mathbf{Z} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right)=\mathbf{0}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{X} & =\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V}\left(\lambda \mathbf{I}_{N T}+\psi \mathbf{Q}_{V}\right) \mathbf{X} \\
& =(\lambda+\psi) \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by using the above results, (5) reduces to

$$
\mathbf{A}^{* \prime} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{W}=\lambda N T\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime} & \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime} \\
\overline{\mathbf{r}} & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \mathbf{z}_{i}^{\prime} & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\varphi} \mathbf{Q}_{F E, N T}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbf{A}^{* \prime} \mathbf{A}^{*}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{* \prime} \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{W} \\
= & \lambda\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1+\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{r}} & -\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} & 0 \\
-\mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{r}} & \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{Q}_{F E, N T}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime} & \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime} \\
\overline{\mathbf{r}} & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \mathbf{z}_{i}^{\prime} & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{Q}_{F E, N T}
\end{array}\right) \\
= & \lambda\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}+\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{r}} \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \mathbf{z}_{i}^{\prime} & \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}+\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{r}} \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{\prime} \\
0 & -\mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{z}} \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}+\mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \mathbf{z}_{i}^{\prime} & -\mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{r}} \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}+\mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\varphi} \mathbf{I}_{k}
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}+\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{r}} \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \mathbf{z}_{i}^{\prime} & =\overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \mathbf{z}_{i}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}\right)\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{z}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \mathbf{z}_{i}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}} \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}+\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{r}} \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{\prime} & =\overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}} \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i} \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}} \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}$. As a result, we obtain

$$
\left(\mathbf{A}^{* \prime} \mathbf{A}^{*}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{* \prime} \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{W}=\lambda\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N} & \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N} \\
0 & \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N} & \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\varphi} \mathbf{I}_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{W}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{P}_{A^{*}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{W} \\
& =\lambda^{2} N T\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} & 0 \\
\overline{\mathbf{z}} & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{z}_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{\prime} & 0 \\
\overline{\mathbf{x}} & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{\prime} & \frac{1}{\varphi} \mathbf{Q}_{F E, N T}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N} & \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N} \\
0 & \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N} & \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\varphi} \mathbf{I}_{k}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\lambda^{2} N T\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime} & \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime} \\
\overline{\mathbf{z}} & \overline{\mathbf{z}} \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}+\mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N} & \overline{\mathbf{z}} \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}+\mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N} \\
\overline{\mathbf{x}} & \overline{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}+\mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N} & \overline{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}+\mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}+\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}} \mathbf{Q}_{F E, N T}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\lambda^{2} N T\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime} & \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime} \\
\overline{\mathbf{z}} & \overline{\mathbf{z}} \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}+\mathbf{F} & \overline{\mathbf{z}} \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}+\mathbf{G} \\
\overline{\mathbf{x}} & \overline{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}+\mathbf{G}^{\prime} & \overline{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}+\mathbf{H}+\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}} \mathbf{Q}_{F E, N T}
\end{array}\right), \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F} & =\mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}, \mathbf{G}=\mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}, \\
\mathbf{H} & =\mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}
\end{aligned}
$$

To simplify the derivation, suppose $\overline{\mathbf{z}}=\mathbf{0}, \overline{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{0}$, but $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} \neq \mathbf{0}$ for each $i$. Then

$$
\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{P}_{A} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{W}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\lambda^{2} N T}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{G} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{G}^{\prime} & \mathbf{D}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{D}=\mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}+\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}} \mathbf{Q}_{F E, N T}
$$

Now using the inverse for partitioned matrices, we have

$$
\text { Asy.Var }\left(\sqrt{N T} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{H T M}\right)=\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{G}^{\prime} \mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{G}\right)^{-1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Asy.Var }\left(\sqrt{N T} \hat{\gamma}_{H T M}\right) & =\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathbf{F}-\mathbf{G D}^{-1} \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathbf{F}^{-1}+\mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{G}^{\prime} \mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{G}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{F}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathbf{F}^{-1}+\mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left[\operatorname{Asy.Var}\left(\sqrt{N T} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{H T M}\right)\right] \mathbf{G F}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{F}^{-1}+\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left[\operatorname{Asy.Var}\left(\sqrt{N T} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{H T M}\right)\right] \mathbf{G F}^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { Asy.Var }\left(\sqrt{N} \hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{H T M}\right) & =\frac{1}{\lambda^{2} T} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{F}^{-1}+\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left[\operatorname{Asy.Var}\left(\sqrt{N} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{H T M}\right)\right] \mathbf{G F}^{-1}  \tag{7}\\
& =\left(\frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{T}+\sigma_{\eta}^{2}\right) \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{F}^{-1}+\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left[\operatorname{Asy} . \operatorname{Var}\left(\sqrt{N} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{H T M}\right)\right] \mathbf{G F}^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easily verified that this expression is the same as $A s y . \operatorname{Var}\left(\sqrt{N} \hat{\gamma}_{F E F-I V}\right)$ given by equation (51) of the paper, apart from the choice of formula for $\operatorname{Asy} \cdot \operatorname{Var}\left(\sqrt{N} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{H T M}\right)$.

Now using relevant partitioned inverse of $\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{P}_{A^{*}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{W}$, we obtain

$$
\text { Asy.Var }\left(\sqrt{N} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{H T M}\right)=\left(\frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{T}+\sigma_{\eta}^{2}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}}+\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}} \mathbf{Q}_{F E} \\
-\mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{r z}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{r z}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}}\right)
\end{array}\right\}^{-1}
$$

which is not the same as $\operatorname{Asy} \cdot \operatorname{Var}\left(\sqrt{N} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{F E}\right)$. But in the case where $m=s$ and $Q_{r z}^{-1}$ exists, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Asy.Var }\left(\sqrt{N} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{H T M}\right) & =\left(\frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{T}+\sigma_{\eta}^{2}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}}+\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}} \mathbf{Q}_{F E} \\
-\mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{r z}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{r z}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}}\right)
\end{array}\right\}^{-1} \\
& =\left(\frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{T}+\sigma_{\eta}^{2}\right) \varphi^{2} \mathbf{Q}_{F E}^{-1} \\
& =\frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{T} \mathbf{Q}_{F E}^{-1}=\text { Asy.Var }\left(\sqrt{N} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{F E}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Asy.Var }\left(\sqrt{N} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{H T M}\right)=\operatorname{Asy.Var}\left(\sqrt{N} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{F E}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the errors $\varepsilon_{i t}$ are homoskedastic and serially uncorrelated, and if $\gamma$ is exactly identified, namely if $m=s$, with $\mathbf{Q}_{r z}$ being nonsingular. The same result holds if

$$
\mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}}=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}\right)^{\prime}=\mathbf{0}
$$

namely, if $\overline{\mathbf{z}}=\mathbf{0}, \overline{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{0}$, and $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{i}$ are uncorrelated.
As a result, by comparing (7) with equation (50) in the paper together with (8), we have

$$
\text { Asy.Var }\left(\sqrt{N} \hat{\gamma}_{F E F-I V}\right)=A s y \cdot \operatorname{Var}\left(\sqrt{N} \hat{\gamma}_{H T M}\right) \text {, }
$$

as required. The above result holds since when $\mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}}=\mathbf{0}$, and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is exactly identified, the expression for $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\hat{\gamma}_{\text {FEF-IV }}}$ simplifies to

$$
\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\hat{\gamma}_{F E F-I V}}=\left(\sigma_{\eta}^{2}+\frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{T}\right)\left(\mathbf{Q}_{z r} \mathbf{Q}_{r r}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{z r}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}+\frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{T} \mathbf{Q}_{z r}^{\prime-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}} \mathbf{Q}_{F E, T}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{z r}^{-1} .
$$

Also, for the modified HT estimator (2), since

$$
\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{y}=\left(\lambda \mathbf{I}_{N T}+\psi \mathbf{Q}_{V}\right) \mathbf{y}=\lambda \mathbf{y}+\psi \mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{y}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{y}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{A}^{*} & =\left(\lambda \mathbf{y}^{\prime}+\psi \mathbf{y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V}\right)\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{N} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right), \mathbf{R} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}, \mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{X}\right) \\
& =\left(\lambda N T \bar{y}, \lambda \mathbf{y}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{R} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}_{T}\right),(\lambda+\psi) \mathbf{y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{X}\right) \\
& =\lambda N T\left(\bar{y}, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \bar{y}_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i},\left(1+\frac{\psi}{\lambda}\right) \frac{1}{N T} \mathbf{y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{X}\right) \\
& =\lambda N T\left(\bar{y}, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \bar{y}_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i}, \frac{1}{\varphi} \frac{1}{N T} \mathbf{y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{X}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using the above derivations, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{y}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{A}^{*}\left(\mathbf{A}^{* \prime} \mathbf{A}^{*}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{* \prime} \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{W} \\
= & \lambda^{2} N T\left(\bar{y}, \frac{\sum_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i}}{N}, \frac{1}{\mathbf{y}^{\prime}} \frac{\mathbf{y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{X}}{N T}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N} & \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N} \\
0 & \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N} & \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\varphi} \mathbf{I}_{k}
\end{array}\right) \\
= & \lambda^{2} N T\left(\begin{array}{c}
\bar{y}, \bar{y} \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}-\bar{y} \overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}+\frac{\sum_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i}}{N} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}, \bar{y} \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}-\bar{y} \overline{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N} \\
\\
\\
\quad+\frac{\sum_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i}}{N} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}+\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}} \frac{\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{X}}{N T}
\end{array}\right) \\
= & \lambda^{2} N T\left(\bar{y}, \bar{y} \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}+\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{y} r, N} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}, \bar{y} \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}+\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{y} r, N} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}+\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}} \frac{\mathbf{y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V} \mathbf{X}}{N T}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{y} r, N}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}\left(\bar{y}_{i}-\bar{y}\right)\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}\right)^{\prime}
$$

Hence, under the normalization $\overline{\mathbf{z}}=\mathbf{0}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{0}$, we have

$$
\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{A}^{*}\left(\mathbf{A}^{* \prime} \mathbf{A}^{*}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{* \prime} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{y}=\lambda^{2} N T\left(\begin{array}{c}
\bar{y} \\
\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{y} r, N} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}\right)^{\prime} \\
\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{y} r, N} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}} \frac{\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V \mathbf{y}}}{N T}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Using this result together with (6) now yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{H T M}= & \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N} & \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N} \\
\mathbf{0} & \left(\mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}\right)^{\prime} & \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}+\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}} \mathbf{Q}_{F E, N T}
\end{array}\right)^{-1} \\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{c}
\bar{y} \\
\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{y} r, N} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}\right)^{\prime} \\
\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{y} r, N} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}} \frac{\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{V \mathbf{y}}}{N T}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, in general, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{H T M}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{H T M}$ will be different from $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{F E}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{F E F-I V}$. However, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{H T M}=$ $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{F E}$, if $\overline{\mathbf{z}}=0$ and $\overline{\mathbf{x}}=0$, and $\mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}, N}=0$. Under these conditions it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\alpha}_{H T M} & =\bar{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{H T M}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{F E}, \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{H T M} & =\left(\mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{r z, N}^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}_{r r, N}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{y}, N}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## B. A full set of Monte Carlo simulation results

The DGP considered in the paper is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i t} & =1+\alpha_{i}+\beta_{1} x_{i t, 1}+\beta_{2} x_{i t, 2}+\gamma_{1} z_{i 1}+\gamma_{2} z_{i 2}+\varepsilon_{i t} \\
i & =1,2, \ldots, N, t=1,2, \ldots, T
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=1$ and $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=1$. An intercept is included, and hence without loss of generality we generate the regressors with zero means. For the time-varying regressors we consider the following relatively general specifications

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i t, 1} & =\alpha_{i} g_{1 t}+w_{i t, 1} \\
x_{i t, 2} & =\alpha_{i} g_{2 t}+w_{i t, 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the time effects $g_{1 t}$ and $g_{2 t}$ are generated as $U(1,2)$ and are then kept fixed across the replications. Note that

$$
\bar{x}_{i, j}=\alpha_{i} \bar{g}_{j}+\bar{w}_{i, j}, \text { for } j=1,2
$$

where $\bar{x}_{i, j}=T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} x_{i t, j}, \bar{w}_{i j}=T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} w_{i t, j}$, and $\bar{g}_{j}=T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} g_{j t}$. We generate the fixed effects as $\alpha_{i} \sim 0.5\left(\chi^{2}(2)-2\right)$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. The stochastic components of the time varying regressors $\left(w_{i t, 1}\right.$ and $\left.w_{i t, 2}\right)$ are generated as heterogenous stationary $A R(1)$ processes

$$
w_{i t, j}=\mu_{i j}\left(1-\rho_{w, i j}\right)+\rho_{\omega, i j} w_{i t-1, j}+\sqrt{1-\rho_{w, i j}^{2}} \epsilon_{w, i t, j} \text { for } j=1,2
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{w, i t, j} & \sim \operatorname{IIDN}\left(0, \sigma_{\epsilon i}^{2}\right), \text { for all } i, j \text { and } t \\
\sigma_{\epsilon i}^{2} & \sim 0.5\left[1+0.5 I I D \chi^{2}(2)\right], w_{i 0, j} \sim \operatorname{IIDN}\left(\mu_{i}, \sigma_{\epsilon i}^{2}\right), \text { for all } i, j \\
\rho_{\omega, i j} & \sim \operatorname{IIDU}[0,0.98], \mu_{i j} \sim I I D N\left(0, \sigma_{\mu}^{2}\right), \sigma_{\mu}^{2}=2, \text { for all } i, j
\end{aligned}
$$

The above DGP allows the individual-specific means, $\bar{x}_{i, j}$, to be non-zero. Note also that the time-varying regressors, $x_{i t, j}$, are correlated with the individual effects, $\alpha_{i}$, since $\bar{g}_{j} \neq 0$, for $j=1$ and 2 .

The time-invariant regressors, $z_{j i}$, for $j=1,2$, are generated as

$$
\mathbf{z}_{i}=\binom{1}{1}+\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \overline{\mathbf{w}}_{i}+\alpha_{i} \boldsymbol{\phi}+\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i}
$$

where $z_{i}=\left(z_{i 1}, z_{i 2}\right)^{\prime}, \bar{w}_{i}=\left(\bar{w}_{i 1}, \bar{w}_{i 2}\right)^{\prime}$, and $\zeta_{i} \sim \operatorname{IIDN}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2}\right)$, and

$$
\mathbf{\Lambda}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

We consider both cases where the time-invariant regressors, $z_{i}$, are exogenous (DGP A) and when they are endogenous (DGP B and C). Under DGP A we set $\boldsymbol{\phi}=\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)^{\prime}=\mathbf{0}$, and under DGP B and C we set $\boldsymbol{\phi}=\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)^{\prime}=(1,1)^{\prime}$. We also assume the $4 \times 1$ vector of instruments $\mathbf{r}_{i}=\left(r_{i 1}, r_{i 2}, r_{i 3}, r_{i 4}\right)^{\prime}$ are generated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}_{i}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\zeta} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i}+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{w} \overline{\mathbf{w}}_{i}+\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \sim \operatorname{IIDN}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{4}\right)$, with

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and distinguish between DGP B and C by different choices of $\Gamma_{w}$, namely under DGP B we set $\Gamma_{w}=0$, and under DGP C we set

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{w}=10\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Clearly, DGP $B$ and $C$ are relevant only when the time-invariant regressors, $z_{i}$, are endogenous. Under DGP $B, \operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}, \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}\right)=\mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}}=0$, and under DGP $C$ we have $\mathbf{Q}_{r \bar{x}}=\Gamma_{w} \neq 0$. Given our theoretical derivations we expect the modified HT and FEF-IV estimators to perform very similarly under DGP $B$.

For each of the above three $\operatorname{DGPs}(A, B$ and $C)$ we consider three different processes for the idiosyncratic errors, $\varepsilon_{i t}$ :

Case 1: Homoskedastic errors:

$$
\varepsilon_{i t} \sim \operatorname{IIDN}(0,1), \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, N ; t=1,2, \ldots, T
$$

Case 2: Heteroskedastic errors:

$$
\varepsilon_{i t} \sim \operatorname{IIDN}\left(0, \sigma_{i}^{2}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, N ; t=1,2, \ldots, T
$$

where $\sigma_{i}^{2} \sim 0.5\left[1+0.5\right.$ IID $\left.\chi^{2}(2)\right]$ for all $i$.
Case 3 : Serially correlated and heteroskedastic errors:

$$
\varepsilon_{i t}=\rho_{\varepsilon i} \varepsilon_{i, t-1}+\sqrt{1-\rho_{\varepsilon i}^{2}} v_{i t}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{i 0} & =0 \text { for all } i, \\
v_{i t} & \sim \operatorname{IIDN}\left(0, \sigma_{v i}^{2}\right), \text { for all } i \text { and } t, \\
\sigma_{v i}^{2} & \sim 0.5\left(1+0.5 I I D \chi^{2}(2)\right), \\
\rho_{\varepsilon i} & \sim \operatorname{IIDU}[0,0.98], \text { for all } i,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t=-49,-48, \ldots, 0,1,2, \ldots, T$, with $u_{i,-49}=0$, for all $i$. The first 50 observations are discarded, and the remaining $T$ observations are used in the experiments. We consider the simulation of combinations of $N=500,1000,2000$ and $T=3,5,10$.

A complete set of simulation results are given in Tables B1-B18.

Table B1: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF and FEVD estimators for $\gamma_{1}$ in the case of DGP with exogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP A) and homoskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 1)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF | FEVD |  | FEF | FEVD |  | FEF | FEVD |  |
|  |  |  | without | with |  | without | with |  | without | with |
| 500 | Bias | 0.0005 | -0.1672 | 0.0005 | -0.0001 | -0.1732 | -0.0001 | 0.0012 | -0.1829 | 0.0012 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0420 | 0.1830 | 0.0420 | 0.0391 | 0.1893 | 0.0391 | 0.0367 | 0.1972 | 0.0137 |
|  | size | 5.7\% | 91\% | 44\% | 5.7\% | 95\% | 48\% | 6.2\% | 98\% | 55\% |
|  | power | 22\% | 75\% | 69\% | 27\% | 83\% | $72 \%$ | $31 \%$ | 91\% | 82\% |
| 1000 | Bias | 0.0002 | -0.1791 | 0.0002 | 0.0012 | -0.1855 | 0.0012 | -0.0005 | -0.1950 | -0.0005 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0275 | 0.1864 | 0.0275 | 0.0257 | 0.1927 | 0.0257 | 0.0244 | 0.2016 | 0.0244 |
|  | size | 4.7\% | 99\% | 40\% | 4.8\% | 100\% | 45\% | 5.3\% | 100\% | $53 \%$ |
|  | power | 42\% | 95\% | $86 \%$ | 50\% | 96\% | 90\% | $52 \%$ | 98\% | 92\% |
| 2000 | bias | -0.0011 | -0.1562 | -0.0011 | 0.0001 | -0.1612 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | -0.1674 | 0.00001 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0203 | 0.1606 | 0.0203 | 0.0194 | 0.1649 | 0.0194 | 0.0181 | 0.1707 | 0.0181 |
|  | size | 5.1\% | 100\% | 44\% | 6.3\% | 100\% | 48\% | 6.2\% | 100\% | 57\% |
|  | power | 68\% | 97\% | 95\% | $76 \%$ | 99\% | 98\% | 80\% | 100\% | 99\% |

Notes: 1. Size is calculated under $\gamma_{1}^{(0)}=1$, and power under $\gamma_{1}^{(1)}=0.95$.
2. The number of replication is set at $R=1000$, and the $95 \%$ confidence interval for size $5 \%$ is $[3.6 \%$, $6.4 \%$ ].
3. For FEVD estimators, "with" refers to the FEVD estimator when an intercept is included in the second step, and "without" refers to the case where the FEVD estimator is computed without an intercept.

Table B2: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF and FEVD estimators for $\gamma_{2}$ in the case of DGP with exogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP A) and homoskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 1)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF | FEVD |  | FEF | FEVD |  | FEF | FEVD |  |
|  |  |  | without | with |  | without | with |  | without | with |
| 500 | Bias | -0.0017 | -0.1697 | -0.0017 | -0.0006 | -0.1739 | -0.0006 | -0.0007 | -0.1805 | -0.0007 |
|  | RMSE | $0.0411$ | 0.1854 | 0.0411 | 0.0376 | 0.1892 | 0.0376 | 0.0356 | 0.1946 | 0.0356 |
|  | size | 5.7\% | 92\% | $43 \%$ | 4.2\% | 95\% | 48\% | 4.7\% | 98\% | 57\% |
|  | power | 21\% | 75\% | 68\% | 27\% | $83 \%$ | $73 \%$ | 30\% | 90\% | 81\% |
| 1000 | Bias | -0.0009 | -0.1836 | -0.0009 | -0.0002 | -0.1877 | -0.0002 | -0.0002 | -0.1963 | -0.0002 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0283 | 0.1909 | 0.0283 | 0.0256 | 0.1946 | 0.0256 | 0.0238 | 0.2028 | 0.0238 |
|  | size | 4.5\% | 100\% | 41\% | 4.1\% | 100\% | $45 \%$ | 4.4\% | 100\% | 52\% |
|  | power | $37 \%$ | 95\% | $84 \%$ | 48\% | 98\% | $89 \%$ | 50\% | 99\% | 94\% |
| 2000 | bias | 0.0008 | -0.1547 | 0.0008 | 0.0003 | -0.1600 | 0.0003 | -0.0001 | -0.1684 | -0.0001 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0202 | 0.1589 | 0.0202 | 0.0188 | 0.1637 | 0.0188 | 0.0172 | 0.1716 | 0.0172 |
|  | size | 5.5\% | 100\% | 41\% | $6 \%$ | 100\% | 47\% | 4.4\% | 100\% | $52 \%$ |
|  | power | $73 \%$ | 98\% | 97\% | 77\% | 99\% | 97\% | 83\% | 99\% | 98\% |

Notes: 1. Size is calculated under $\gamma_{2}^{(0)}=1$, and power under $\gamma_{2}^{(1)}=0.95$.
2. See also the notes 2-3 of Table B1.

Table B3: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF and FEVD estimators for $\gamma_{1}$ in the case of DGP with exogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP A) and heteroskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 2)

|  | $T$ |  | 3 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ |  | FEF |  |  | FEF |  |  | FEF |  |  |
|  |  |  | without | with |  | without | with |  | without | with |
| 500 | Bias | 0.0006 | -0.1665 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 | -0.1698 | 0.0006 | 0.0018 | -0.1818 | 0.0018 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0433 | 0.1829 | 0.0433 | 0.0375 | 0.1851 | 0.0375 | 0.0169 | 0.1946 | 0.0169 |
|  | size | 6.5\% | 95\% | 44\% | 5.1\% | 97\% | 46\% | 41.\% | 99\% | 54\% |
|  | power | 23\% | 85\% | 69\% | 27\% | 88\% | 74\% | $33 \%$ | 95\% | 84\% |
| 1000 | Bias | -0.0004 | -0.1813 | -0.0004 | -0.0014 | -0.1885 | -0.0014 | 0.0006 | -0.1975 | 0.0006 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0282 | 0.1891 | 0.0282 | 0.0261 | 0.1954 | 0.0261 | 0.0256 | 0.2041 | 0.0256 |
|  | size | 4.3\% | 100\% | 42\% | 4.6\% | 100\% | 47\% | 6\% | 100\% | 55\% |
|  | power | 39\% | 97\% | 84\% | 47\% | 100\% | 87\% | 54\% | 100\% | 92\% |
| 2000 | bias | 0.0003 | -0.1540 | 0.0003 | 0.0009 | -0.1591 | 0.0009 | -0.0012 | -0.1697 | -0.0012 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0197 | 0.1584 | 0.0197 | 0.0184 | 0.1628 | 0.0184 | 0.0175 | 0.1732 | 0.0175 |
|  | size | 4.8\% | 100\% | 42\% | 5.1\% | 100\% | 45\% | 4.8\% | 100\% | 52\% |
|  | power | 71\% | 100\% | 97\% | 78\% | 100\% | 98\% | 80\% | 100\% | 99\% |

Notes: see notes 1-3 of Table B1.

Table B4: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF and FEVD estimators for $\gamma_{2}$ in the case of DGP with exogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP A) and heteroskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 2)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF | FEVD |  | FEF | FEVD |  | FEF | FEVD |  |
|  |  |  | without | with |  | without | with |  | without | with |
| 500 | Bias | 0.0003 | -0.1684 | 0.0003 | -0.0008 | -0.1756 | -0.0008 | -0.0006 | -0.1803 | -0.0006 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0419 | 0.1844 | 0.0419 | 0.0373 | 0.1904 | 0.0373 | 0.0344 | 0.1930 | 0.0344 |
|  | size | $5.7 \%$ | 95\% | $43 \%$ | 5.2\% | 97\% | 46\% | $42 . \%$ | 99\% | 54\% |
|  | power | 24\% | 84\% | 69\% | 26\% | 90\% | 73\% | 29\% | 94\% | 81\% |
| 1000 | Bias | -0.0003 | -0.1824 | -0.0003 | 0.0007 | -0.1852 | 0.0007 | -0.0008 | -0.1942 | -0.0008 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0285 | 0.1903 | 0.0285 | 0.0261 | 0.1920 | 0.0261 | 0.0247 | 0.2010 | 0.0247 |
|  | size | 5.1\% | 100\% | 42\% | $5.4 \%$ | 100\% | 44\% | 4.8\% | 100\% | 54\% |
|  | power | 40\% | 97\% | 83\% | 47\% | 100\% | 90\% | 51\% | 99\% | 94\% |
| 2000 | bias | -0.0003 | -0.1554 | -0.0003 | -0.0012 | -0.1624 | -0.0012 | 0.0003 | -0.1660 | 0.0003 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0196 | 0.1596 | 0.0196 | 0.0192 | 0.1662 | 0.0192 | 0.0176 | 0.1694 | 0.0176 |
|  | size | 4.4\% | 100\% | 43\% | 5.7\% | 100\% | 48\% | 5.2\% | 100\% | 53\% |
|  | power | 71\% | 100\% | 96\% | 75\% | 100\% | 97\% | 82\% | 100\% | 99\% |

Notes: see notes 1-2 of Table B2.

Table B5: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF and FEVD estimators for $\gamma_{1}$ in the case of DGP with

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF | FEVD |  | FEF | FEVD |  | FEF | FEVD |  |
|  |  |  | without | with |  | without | with |  | without | with |
| 500 | Bias | 0.0016 | -0.1668 | 0.0016 | -0.0003 | -0.1721 | -0.0003 | -0.0008 | -0.1850 | -0.0008 |
|  | RMSE | $0.0419$ | 0.1836 | 0.0419 | 0.0400 | 0.1874 | 0.0400 | 0.0371 | 0.1998 | 0.0371 |
|  | size | 3.7\% | 91\% | 58\% | 4.2\% | 94\% | 60\% | 4.6\% | 98\% | 64\% |
|  | power | $22 \%$ | $76 \%$ | 78\% | $23 \%$ | 84\% | 80\% | 26\% | 92\% | 87\% |
| 1000 | Bias | -0.0024 | -0.1861 | -0.0024 | -0.0013 | -0.1888 | -0.0013 | -0.0002 | -0.1977 | -0.0002 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0299 | 0.1936 | 0.0299 | 0.0288 | 0.1961 | 0.0288 | 0.0282 | 0.2049 | 0.0282 |
|  | size | $5.4 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $56 \%$ | 5.5\% | 100\% | 58\% | 5.9\% | 100\% | $64 \%$ |
|  | power | $32 \%$ | 96\% | 87\% | $39 \%$ | 98\% | $89 \%$ | 46\% | 100\% | 92\% |
| 2000 | bias | -0.0004 | -0.1548 | -0.0004 | 0.0007 | -0.1607 | 0.0007 | 0.0005 | -0.1658 | 0.0005 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0223 | 0.1590 | 0.0223 | 0.0210 | 0.1646 | 0.0210 | 0.0189 | 0.1694 | 0.0189 |
|  | size | 5.6\% | 100\% | 60\% | $6 \%$ | 100\% | 62\% | $3.4 \%$ | 100\% | 66\% |
|  | power | 62\% | 98\% | 96\% | 69\% | 100\% | 98\% | $74 \%$ | 100\% | 100\% |

Notes: see notes 1-3 of Table B1.

Table B6: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF and FEVD estimators for $\gamma_{2}$ in the case of DGP with exogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP A) and serial correlated errors (Case 3)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF | FEVD |  | FEF | FEVD |  | FEF | FEVD |  |
|  |  |  | without | with |  | without | with |  | without | with |
| 500 | Bias | -0.0012 | -0.1694 | -0.0012 | -0.0007 | -0.1761 | -0.0007 | 0.0001 | -0.1809 | 0.0001 |
|  | RMSE | $0.0425$ | 0.1861 | 0.0425 | 0.0405 | 0.1921 | 0.0405 | 0.0387 | 0.1960 | 0.0387 |
|  | size | 4.4\% | 91\% | 57\% | 4.5\% | 95\% | 60\% | 5.3\% | 97\% | 65\% |
|  | power | 19\% | 78\% | $76 \%$ | $23 \%$ | 85\% | 80\% | 27\% | 91\% | $83 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | 0.0024 | -0.1781 | 0.0024 | 0.0003 | -0.1859 | 0.0003 | 0.0001 | -0.1956 | 0.0001 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0291 | 0.1860 | 0.0291 | 0.0292 | 0.1933 | 0.0292 | 0.0283 | 0.2030 | 0.0283 |
|  | size | 4.1\% | 100\% | 55\% | 4.9\% | 99\% | 59\% | 6.3\% | 100\% | 64\% |
|  | power | 40\% | 94\% | 90\% | 40\% | 97\% | 91\% | $43 \%$ | 99\% | 93\% |
| 2000 | bias | 0.0009 | -0.1548 | 0.0009 | -0.0003 | -0.1613 | -0.0003 | -0.0003 | -0.1671 | -0.0003 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0220 | 0.1588 | 0.0220 | 0.0209 | 0.1654 | 0.0209 | 0.0196 | 0.1707 | 0.0196 |
|  | size | 4.3\% | 100\% | 61\% | 5.7\% | 100\% | 59\% | 4.6\% | 100\% | 65\% |
|  | power | 65\% | 98\% | 96\% | 68\% | 99\% | 97\% | 74\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Notes: see notes 1-2 of Table B2.

Table B7: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HTM estimators for $\gamma_{1}$ in the DGP with endogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP B) and homoskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 1)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM |
| 500 | Bias | 0.0030 | 0.0028 | 0.0030 | 0.0029 | 0.0052 | 0.0051 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0689 | 0.0683 | 0.0647 | 0.0644 | 0.0592 | 0.0591 |
|  | size | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
|  | power | $18 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | 0.0005 | 0.0005 | 0.0022 | 0.0022 | 0.0021 | 0.0021 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0455 | 0.0453 | 0.0438 | 0.0437 | 0.0408 | 0.0408 |
|  | size | $4.2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
|  | power | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0014 | 0.0014 | 0.0015 | 0.0015 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0316 | 0.0315 | 0.0295 | 0.0294 | 0.0257 | 0.0257 |
|  | size | $4.4 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |
|  | power | $39 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $45 \%$ |

Notes: 1. Size is calculated under $\gamma_{1}^{(0)}=1$, and power under $\gamma_{1}^{(1)}=0.95$.
2. The number of replication is set at $R=1000$, and the $95 \%$ confidence interval for size $5 \%$ is $[3.6 \%$, 6.4\%].
3. "FEF-IV" refers to the FEF-IV estimation, "HTM" refers to the modified HT estimation.

Table B8: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HTM estimators for $\gamma_{2}$ in the DGP with endogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP B) and homoskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 1)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM |
| 500 | Bias | -0.0022 | -0.0025 | 0.0024 | 0.0023 | 0.0022 | 0.0022 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0653 | 0.0647 | 0.0623 | 0.0621 | 0.0599 | 0.0598 |
|  | size | $4 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
|  | power | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | 0.0000 | -0.0001 | 0.0014 | 0.0014 | -0.0003 | -0.0003 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0459 | 0.0456 | 0.0442 | 0.0441 | 0.0404 | 0.0404 |
|  | size | $4.5 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
|  | power | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | -0.0002 | -0.0002 | 0.0 .041 | 0.0041 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0318 | 0.0317 | 0.0292 | 0.0291 | 0.0224 | 0.0223 |
|  | size | $5.1 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
|  | power | $38 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $43 \%$ |

Notes: 1. Size is calculated under $\gamma_{2}^{(0)}=1$, and power under $\gamma_{2}^{(1)}=0.95$.
2. See notes 2-3 of Table B7.

Table B9: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HTM estimators for $\gamma_{1}$ in the DGP with endogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP B) and heteroskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 2)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM |
| 500 | Bias | 0.0026 | 0.0024 | 0.0038 | 0.0037 | 0.0015 | 0.0014 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0647 | 0.0642 | 0.0617 | 0.0615 | 0.0560 | 0.0559 |
|  | size | $2.7 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
|  | power | $16 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | 0.0007 | 0.0007 | 0.0023 | 0.0023 | 0.0014 | 0.0014 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0460 | 0.0458 | 0.0435 | 0.0433 | 0.0406 | 0.0406 |
|  | size | $4.2 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
|  | power | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | 0.0007 | 0.0007 | 0.0025 | 0.0025 | 0.0016 | 0.0016 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0326 | 0.0325 | 0.0305 | 0.0304 | 0.0287 | 0.0287 |
|  | size | $5.4 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
|  | power | $39 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $46 \%$ |

Notes: see notes 1-3 of Table B7.

Table B10: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HTM estimators for $\gamma_{2}$ in the DGP with endogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP B) and heteroskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 2)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM |
| 500 | Bias | 0.0037 | 0.0035 | 0.0049 | 0.0049 | 0.0033 | 0.0032 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0660 | 0.0654 | 0.0655 | 0.0652 | 0.0590 | 0.0589 |
|  | size | $3.8 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
|  | power | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | -0.0001 | -0.0002 | 0.0053 | 0.0053 | 0.0032 | 0.0032 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0465 | 0.0463 | 0.0434 | 0.0432 | 0.0412 | 0.0412 |
|  | size | $4.1 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
|  | power | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | 0.0013 | 0.0012 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0307 | 0.0306 | 0.0298 | 0.0297 | 0.0281 | 0.0280 |
|  | size | $3.9 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
|  | power | $38 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $42 \%$ |

Notes: see notes 1-2 of Table B8.

Table B11: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HTM estimators for $\gamma_{1}$ in the DGP with endogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP B) and serially correlated errors (Case 3)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM |
| 500 | Bias | 0.0032 | 0.0031 | 0.0021 | 0.0021 | 0.0053 | 0.0053 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0691 | 0.0689 | 0.0693 | 0.0692 | 0.0639 | 0.0639 |
|  | size | $2.6 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
|  | power | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | 0.0017 | 0.0017 | 0.0011 | 0.0011 | 0.0014 | 0.0014 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0507 | 0.0506 | 0.0460 | 0.0460 | 0.0444 | 0.0444 |
|  | size | $4.5 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
|  | power | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | 0.0015 | 0.0015 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0349 | 0.0348 | 0.0332 | 0.0332 | 0.0311 | 0.0310 |
|  | size | $4.3 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
|  | power | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $37 \%$ |

Notes: see notes 1-3 of Table B7.

Table B12: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HTM estimators for $\gamma_{2}$ in the DGP with endogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP B) and serially correlated errors (Case 3)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM |
| 500 | Bias | 0.0038 | 0.0038 | 0.0025 | 0.0024 | 0.0056 | 0.0056 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0710 | 0.0707 | 0.0694 | 0.0693 | 0.0686 | 0.0685 |
|  | size | $4.2 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
|  | power | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | 0.0014 | 0.0014 | 0.0013 | 0.0012 | 0.0018 | 0.0017 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0510 | 0.0509 | 0.0480 | 0.0479 | 0.0471 | 0.0471 |
|  | size | $4.1 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
|  | power | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | -0.0002 | -0.0002 | 0.0017 | 0.0017 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0356 | 0.0356 | 0.0343 | 0.0342 | 0.0318 | 0.0318 |
|  | size | $4.2 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ |
|  | power | $32 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $38 \%$ |

Notes: see notes 1-2 of Table B8.

Table B13: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HTM estimators for $\gamma_{1}$ in the DGP with endogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP C) and homoskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 1)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM |
| 00 | Bias | 0.0032 | 0.0024 | -0.0003 | -0.0006 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0490 | 0.0486 | 0.0434 | 0.0433 | 0.0419 | 0.0418 |
|  | size | $5.9 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
|  | power | $21 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | -0.0002 | 0.0013 | 0.0012 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0335 | 0.0334 | 0.0315 | 0.0315 | 0.0288 | 0.0287 |
|  | size | $5 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
|  | power | $32 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | -0.0001 | -0.0003 | 0.0010 | 0.0009 | -0.0019 | -0.0020 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0235 | 0.0235 | 0.0206 | 0.0205 | 0.0184 | 0.0184 |
|  | size | $4.9 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
|  | power | $59 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $67 \%$ |

Notes: see notes 1-3 of Table B7.

Table B14: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HTM estimators for $\gamma_{2}$ in the DGP with endogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP C) and homoskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 1)

| $N$ <br>  T | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bias | -0.0027 | -0.0036 | -0.0003 | -0.0007 | -0.0019 | -0.0020 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0496 | 0.0492 | 0.0438 | 0.0436 | 0.0414 | 0.0414 |
|  | size | $6.5 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
|  | power | $20 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | 0.0001 | -0.0005 | -0.0005 | -0.0007 | -0.00011 | -0.0012 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0331 | 0.0329 | 0.0312 | 0.0311 | 0.0288 | 0.0286 |
|  | size | $6.1 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
|  | power | $32 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | -0.0002 | -0.0004 | -0.0007 | -0.0008 | 0.0009 | 0.0008 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0235 | 0.0234 | 0.0208 | 0.0208 | 0.0179 | 0.0179 |
|  | size | $4.9 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
|  | power | $57 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $65 \%$ |

Notes: see notes 1-2 of Table B8.

Table B15: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HTM estimators for $\gamma_{1}$ in the DGP with endogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP C) and heteroskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 2)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM |
| 500 | Bias | 0.0006 | -0.0002 | -0.0012 | -0.0015 | -0.0015 | -0.0016 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0449 | 0.0446 | 0.0437 | 0.0436 | 0.0408 | 0.0407 |
|  | size | $4.1 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
|  | power | $18 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | 0.0009 | 0.0004 | -0.0016 | -0.0018 | -0.0011 | -0.0011 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0327 | 0.0325 | 0.0313 | 0.0313 | 0.0295 | 0.0294 |
|  | size | $4.9 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
|  | power | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | -0.0001 | -0.0004 | 0.0009 | 0.0008 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0233 | 0.0232 | 0.0221 | 0.0221 | 0.0204 | 0.0204 |
|  | size | $4.6 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
|  | power | $59 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $67 \%$ |

Notes: see notes 1-3 of Table B7.

Table B16: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HTM estimators for $\gamma_{2}$ in the DGP with endogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP C) and heteroskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 2)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM |
| 500 | Bias | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 | 0.0014 | 0.0013 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0460 | 0.0456 | 0.0430 | 0.0429 | 0.0404 | 0.0403 |
|  | size | $3.6 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
|  | power | $18 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | -0.0002 | -0.0007 | 0.0013 | 0.0011 | 0.0010 | 0.0009 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0326 | 0.0325 | 0.0312 | 0.0312 | 0.0289 | 0.0289 |
|  | size | $3.8 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
|  | power | $32 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | 0.0003 | 0.0000 | -0.0013 | -0.0014 | -0.0006 | -0.0007 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0233 | 0.0232 | 0.0224 | 0.0224 | 0.0216 | 0.0215 |
|  | size | $4.6 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
|  | power | $57 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $65 \%$ |

Notes: see notes 1-2 of Table B8.

Table B17: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HTM estimators for $\gamma_{1}$ in the DGP with endogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP C) and serially correlated errors (Case 3 )

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM |
| 00 | Bias | 0.0000 | -0.0004 | 0.0001 | -0.0001 | -0.0001 | -0.0002 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0498 | 0.0497 | 0.0484 | 0.0483 | 0.0448 | 0.0448 |
|  | size | $4.3 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
|  | power | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | -0.0004 | -0.0006 | -0.0004 | -0.0005 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0362 | 0.0362 | 0.0350 | 0.0350 | 0.0317 | 0.0317 |
|  | size | $4.5 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
|  | power | $30 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | 0.0006 | 0.0005 | -0.0009 | -0.0009 | -0.0007 | -0.0007 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0253 | 0.0252 | 0.0245 | 0.0245 | 0.0231 | 0.0231 |
|  | Size | $4.9 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
|  | power | $51 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $55 \%$ |

Notes: see notes 1-3 of Table B7.

Table B18: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HTM estimators for $\gamma_{2}$ in the DGP with endogenous time-invariant regressors (DGP C) and serially correlated errors (Case 3)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM | FEF-IV | HTM |
| 500 | Bias | 0.0000 | -0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | -0.0001 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0496 | 0.0495 | 0.0485 | 0.0484 | 0.0458 | 0.0458 |
|  | size | $5 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
|  | power | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | 0.0006 | 0.0003 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0365 | 0.0365 | 0.0348 | 0.0348 | 0.0318 | 0.0318 |
|  | size | $5.1 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
|  | power | $30 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | -0.0011 | -0.0012 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0247 | 0.0247 | 0.0247 | 0.0247 | 0.232 | 0.0232 |
|  | size | $4.2 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
|  | power | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $56 \%$ |

Notes: see notes 1-2 of Table B8.

## C. Simulation results for the (unmodified) HT estimator

In this section, we provide simulation results for the (unmodified) HT estimator when there are in fact no valid exogenous time-varying variables which can be used as instruments (as required by HT) for the endogenous time-invariant regressors. We closely follow the Monte Carlo design of the paper and generate $y_{i t}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i t} & =1+\alpha_{i}+x_{1, i t} \beta_{1}+x_{2, i t} \beta_{2}+z_{1 i} \gamma_{1}+z_{2 i} \gamma_{2}+\varepsilon_{i t} \\
i & =1,2, \ldots, N ; t=1,2, \ldots, T
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=1$ and $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=1$. We generate the fixed effects as $\alpha_{i} \sim 0.5\left(\chi^{2}(2)-2\right)$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Both time-varying regressors, $x_{1, i t}$ and $x_{2, i t}$ are generated to be correlated with the fixed effects:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1, i t}=1+\alpha_{i} g_{1 t}+\omega_{i t, 1} \\
& x_{2, i t}=1+\alpha_{i} g_{2 t}+\omega_{i t, 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the time effects $g_{1 t}$ and $g_{2 t}$ for $t=1,2, \ldots, T$, are generated as $U(0,2)$ and are then kept fixed across the replications. It is clear that this DGP does not meet one of the requirements of the HT procedure, which assumes that one or more time varying regressors are uncorrelated with $\alpha_{i}$. The stochastic components of the time varying regressors ( $\omega_{i t, 1}$ and $\omega_{i t, 2}$ ) are generated as heterogenous $A R(1)$ processes

$$
\omega_{i t, j}=\mu_{i j}\left(1-\rho_{\omega, i j}\right)+\rho_{\omega, i j} \omega_{i t-1, j}+\sqrt{1-\rho_{\omega, i j}^{2}} \epsilon_{\omega i t, j} \text { for } j=1,2
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{\omega i t, j} & \sim \operatorname{IIDN}\left(0, \sigma_{\epsilon i}^{2}\right), \text { for all } i, j \text { and } t \\
\sigma_{\epsilon i}^{2} & \sim 0.5\left(1+0.5 I I D \chi^{2}(2)\right), \omega_{i 0, j} \sim \operatorname{IIDN}\left(\mu_{i}, \sigma_{\epsilon i}^{2}\right), \text { for all } i, j, \\
\rho_{\omega, i j} & \sim \operatorname{IIDU}[0,0.98], \mu_{i j} \sim \operatorname{IIDN}\left(0, \sigma_{\mu}^{2}\right), \sigma_{\mu}^{2}=2, \text { for all } i, j
\end{aligned}
$$

The time-invariant regressors are generated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{1 i} & \sim 1+N(0,1), \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, N \\
z_{2 i} & =r_{i}+\alpha_{i}, \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, N, r_{i} \sim I U[7,12] \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, N,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I U(7,12)$ denotes integers uniformly drawn within the range $[7,12]$. Note that the second timeinvariant regressor, $z_{2 i}$, is generated to depend on the fixed effects, $\alpha_{i}$, to deal with this endogeneity we use $r_{i}$ as the instrument for $z_{2 i}$ in the FEF-IV estimation procedure. We generate $\varepsilon_{i t}$ according to

Case 1: Homoskedastic errors:

$$
\varepsilon_{i t} \sim \operatorname{IIDN}(0,1), \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, N ; t=1,2, \ldots, T
$$

Case 2: Heteroskedastic errors:

$$
\varepsilon_{i t} \sim \operatorname{IIDN}\left(0, \sigma_{i}^{2}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, N ; t=1,2, \ldots, T,
$$

where $\sigma_{i}^{2} \sim 0.5\left(1+0.5 I I D \chi^{2}(2)\right)$ for all $i$.
Case 3: Serially correlated and heteroskedastic errors:

$$
\varepsilon_{i t}=\rho_{\varepsilon i} \varepsilon_{i, t-1}+\sqrt{1-\rho_{\varepsilon i}^{2}} v_{i t},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{i 0} & =0 \text { for all } i, \\
v_{i t} & \sim \operatorname{IIDN}\left(0, \sigma_{v i}^{2}\right), \text { for all } i \text { and } t, \\
\sigma_{v i}^{2} & \sim 0.5\left(1+0.5 I I D \chi^{2}(2)\right), \\
\rho_{\varepsilon i} & \sim \operatorname{IIDU}[0,0.98], \text { for all } i,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t=-49,-48, \ldots, 0,1,2, \ldots, T$, with $u_{i,-49}=0$, for all $i$. The first 50 observations are discarded, and the remaining $T$ observations are used in the experiments.

In computing the HT estimator, we use time averages of the time-varying regressors, namely $\bar{x}_{1 i}$ and $\bar{x}_{2 i}$, as well as $z_{i 1}$, as instruments. The simulation results are summarized in Tables C1-C6. As can be seen, the unmodified HT estimator which uses invalid instruments, $\bar{x}_{1 i}$ and $\bar{x}_{2 i}$, is biased and shows substantial size distortions.

Table C1: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HT estimators for $\gamma_{1}$ in the DGP of endogenous time-invariant regressors and homoskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 1)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF-IV | HT | FEF-IV | HT | FEF-IV | HT |
| 500 | Bias | -0.0007 | -0.0028 | 0.0011 | 0.0017 | -0.0003 | 0.0002 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0518 | 0.0921 | 0.0497 | 0.0939 | 0.0454 | 0.0914 |
|  | size | $5.6 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
|  | power | $15 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | -0.0021 | 0.0001 | -0.0028 | 0.0026 | -0.0004 | -0.0045 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0353 | 0.0683 | 0.0334 | 0.0639 | 0.0338 | 0.0651 |
|  | size | $4.3 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
|  | power | $25 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | 0.0001 | 0.0009 | 0.0002 | 0.0026 | 0.0005 | -0.0020 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0260 | 0.0481 | 0.0245 | 0.0455 | 0.0237 | 0.0457 |
|  | size | $5.3 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
|  | power | $52 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $18 \%$ |

Notes: 1. Size is calculated under $\gamma_{1}^{(0)}=1$, and power under $\gamma_{1}^{(1)}=0.95$.
2. The number of replication is set at $R=1000$, and the $95 \%$ confidence interval for size $5 \%$ is $[3.6 \%$, $6.4 \%$ ].
3. The FEF-IV and HT use $\left(r_{i}\right)$ and $\left(\bar{x}_{1 i}, \bar{x}_{2 i}\right)$ as the instruments for $z_{2 i}$, respectively.

Table C2: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HT estimators for $\gamma_{2}$ in the DGP of endogenous time-invariant regressors and homoskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 1)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF-IV | HT | FEF-IV | HT | FEF-IV | HT |
| 500 | Bias | 0.0012 | 0.9850 | -0.0012 | 1.0002 | -0.0005 | 0.9958 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0266 | 1.0023 | 0.0238 | 1.0107 | 0.0232 | 1.0058 |
|  | size | $5.2 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | power | $53 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | -0.0004 | 0.9955 | -0.0007 | 0.9972 | -0.0002 | 0.9991 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0178 | 1.0039 | 0.0177 | 1.0024 | 0.0168 | 1.0038 |
|  | size | $4.6 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | power | $78 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | -0.0001 | 0.9913 | 0.0000 | 1.0004 | -0.0001 | 0.9999 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0128 | 0.9955 | 0.0124 | 1.0029 | 0.0117 | 1.0024 |
|  | size | $4.6 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | power | $96 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Notes: 1. Size is calculated under $\gamma_{2}^{(0)}=1$, and power under $\gamma_{2}^{(1)}=0.95$.
2. See Notes 2-3 of Table C1.

Table C3: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HT estimators for $\gamma_{1}$ in the DGP of endogenous time-invariant regressors and heteroskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 2)

|  |  | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF-IV | HT | FEF-IV | HT | FEF-IV | HT |  |
| 500 | Bias | -0.0006 | 0.0020 | 0.0015 | 0.0019 | -0.0029 | -0.0065 |  |
|  | RMSE | 0.0529 | 0.0937 | 0.0497 | 0.0919 | 0.0460 | 0.0905 |  |
|  | size | $5.3 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |  |
|  | power | $19 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |  |
| 1000 | Bias | 0.0010 | -0.0029 | -0.0011 | 0.0004 | 0.0011 | -0.0012 |  |
|  | RMSE | 0.0355 | 0.0670 | 0.0344 | 0.0681 | 0.0346 | 0.0660 |  |
|  | size | $3.8 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |  |
|  | power | $30 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $11 \%$ |  |
| 2000 | Bias | 0.0006 | 0.0011 | -0.0010 | -0.0001 | -0.0001 | 0.0018 |  |
|  | RMSE | 0.0259 | 0.0477 | 0.0242 | 0.0453 | 0.0237 | 0.0447 |  |
|  | size | $5.2 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |  |
|  | power | $51 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $20 \%$ |  |

See the notes 1-3 to Table C1.
Table C4: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HT estimators for $\gamma_{2}$ in the DGP of endogenous time-invariant regressors and heteroskedastic and serially uncorrelated errors (Case 2)

| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEF-IV | HT | FEF-IV | HT | FEF-IV | HT |
|  | -0.0006 | 0.9874 | -0.0006 | 0.9937 | -0.0007 | 0.9959 |  |
|  | RMSE | 0.0260 | 1.0060 | 0.0243 | 1.0046 | 0.0240 | 1.0063 |
|  | size | $4.8 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | power | $49 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | Bias | 0.0000 | 0.9974 | -0.0013 | 0.9963 | -0.0004 | 1.0018 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0186 | 1.0058 | 0.0182 | 1.0019 | 0.0157 | 1.0069 |
|  | size | $5.8 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | power | $77 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | 0.0001 | 0.9980 | 0.0000 | 0.9981 | 0.0001 | 0.9993 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0126 | 1.0022 | 0.0128 | 1.0008 | 0.0117 | 1.0018 |
|  | size | $4.9 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | power | $97 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

See the notes 1-2 to Table C2.

Table C5: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HT estimators for $\gamma_{1}$ in the DGP with endogenous

| time-invariant regressors and serially correlated errors (Case 3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
| 500 | Bias | 0.0006 | -0.0026 | -0.0013 | 0.0007 | -0.0007 | 0.0039 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0576 | 0.0964 | 0.0543 | 0.0951 | 0.0526 | 0.0965 |
|  | size | $4.3 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
|  | power | $15 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | -0.0004 | 0.0070 | -0.0009 | 0.0012 | -0.0010 | 0.0012 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0396 | 0.0666 | 0.0386 | 0.0644 | 0.0349 | 0.0660 |
|  | size | $4.4 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
|  | power | $22 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | 0.0003 | 0.0020 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | -0.0002 | 0.0000 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0291 | 0.0489 | 0.0266 | 0.0483 | 0.0256 | 0.0456 |
|  | size | $6.3 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
|  | power | $42 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $20 \%$ |

See the notes 1-3 to Table C1.

Table C6: Bias, RMSE, size and power of FEF-IV and HT estimators for $\gamma_{2}$ in the DGP with endogenous

| time-invariant regressors and serially correlated errors (Case 3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $T$ | 3 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  |
|  |  | Fias | -0.0011 | 1.0003 | -0.0004 | 0.9918 | 0.0008 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0290 | 1.0139 | 0.0281 | 1.0022 | 0.0259 | 1.0068 |
|  | size | $4.5 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | power | $42 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1000 | Bias | 0.0007 | 0.9979 | -0.0009 | 1.0047 | -0.0004 | 1.0000 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0198 | 1.0050 | 0.0187 | 1.0101 | 0.0177 | 1.0051 |
|  | size | $4.9 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | power | $72 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 2000 | Bias | -0.0006 | 1.0029 | 0.0006 | 0.9992 | -0.0003 | 0.9969 |
|  | RMSE | 0.0141 | 1.0063 | 0.0137 | 1.0019 | 0.0129 | 0.9994 |
|  | size | $5.2 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | power | $93 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

See the notes 1-2 to Table C2.

